

R da Sofia, 139-2º Posterior,
3000-387 Coimbra,
Portugal.
12/03/2017

Re Intended Proceedings Between Nicholas Collin Paul de Gloucester v A. Novais Teixeira;
and others

A. Novais Teixeira,
Av Fernão de Magalhães, 153 - 5º, sl 13,
3000-176 Coimbra.

To Mister A. Novais Teixeira,

On 09/03/2017 I was informed that Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo is prosecuting the putative University of Coimbra over mishandling me. I am willing to testify for this process.

Thou hast perpetrated malicious falsehood and defamation on me. If thou wouldst prefer alternative dispute resolution as an alternative to being sued by me, please suggest how we could resolve these difficulties.

According to an article by the journalist Fergal Henry mac Erlaine:

“Antonio Novais Teixeira, Ms Figueiredo's lawyer, said staff at the university remain afraid Gloster may return.”

According to an article by the journalist Fergal Henry mac Erlaine thou hast professed:

“There is justified fear not only by Mrs Figueiredo but also by the other teachers and students that the episode of violence may be repeated.”

As thou art aware (cf. Pages 41 (“**Evil_which_is_so-called_science/whistleblowing_is_not_a_mental_illness/Rui_Miguel_Curado_da_Silva_had_me_assaulted_as_a_result_of_printing_this_webpage.htm**”)); and 117 by thee of the Portuguese Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR), the putative University of Coimbra and Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo abuse me since more than 8 years ago. Misbehaviour of their remorselessness included inter alia:

- malconduct over which I sue the putative University of Coimbra in earlier, ongoing litigation;
- after I had commenced to sue the putative University of Coimbra in a Portuguese court, Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and the putative University of Coimbra have had aggravated assaults perpetrated against me during 2013 as a consequence;
- malicious falsehood covering up these aggravated assaults;
- there were years of illegalities of missing and/or incomplete and/or late payments,
and

- Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo owes this claimant thousands of Euro de before she; and this claimant applied to the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology for a PhD grant following an offer by her of an earlier grant in bad faith (bait and switch) while dishonouring, *inter alia*, an obligation to this claimant demanded by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948.

These dishonestly professed allegations about me you published meant and were understood to mean meanings including these:

- this claimant is immoral;
- this claimant deserves to be ridiculed for being an unreasonable bigot who lacks restraint because this claimant insists that he is “not unreasonable”;
- this claimant is hypocritical to denounce mistreatment only to replicate it because this claimant is known to profess austere morality (e.g. is against injustice within the science community);
- this claimant is not civilised;
- it is an act of madness;
- this claimant is not a calm person with emotional balance suitable for employment;
- this claimant was obviously mentally ill and needed help and has a mental illness and had insanity;
- this claimant did a thing which was not a justifiable thing to do;
- the victim is not this claimant, and this is also defamatory malicious falsehood for meaning that this claimant is not a victim;
- Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was not axed as partial retaliation as just cause over getting this claimant subjected to aggravated assaults in 2013 with the University of Coimbra; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal being accomplices;
- this claimant has perpetrated this assault with a deadly weapon;
- this claimant is dangerous;
- this claimant deprived Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo of a right to go to a safe workplace and not be assaulted (this claimant and a Portuguese criminal tribunal observe that the reality was contrary — this claimant had gone to a workplace where he was assaulted because of Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo; the University of Coimbra; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal);
- this claimant is a criminal at Level 1 of *Chase*;
- immoral harassment was not going on against this claimant;
- Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo; the University of Coimbra; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal are not at faults;

- this claimant is not still a physicist (these said words are an example calculated to disparage amongst colleagues this claimant in conduct of professional activity in which he is employed);
- this claimant is not still an investigator (these said words are an example calculated to disparage amongst colleagues this claimant in conduct of professional activity in which he is employed);
- this claimant is not still a researcher (these said words are an example calculated to disparage amongst colleagues this claimant in conduct of professional activity in which he is employed);
- this claimant is not still a scientist (these said words are an example calculated to disparage amongst colleagues this claimant in conduct of professional activity in which he is employed);
- this claimant is not still based at the Department of Physics of the University of Coimbra;
- this claimant is not still based at the University of Coimbra;
- this claimant is likely to menace students of the University of Coimbra by means of an axe;
- being an autist is being ill instead of being a member of a minority genetic race, or phrased differently: autism is an illness instead of a set of minority genetic races;
- autism is a dangerous illness;
- psychiatric evaluation was not a wild-goose chase cooked by Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo; the University of Coimbra; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal;
- this claimant is not a good workmate;
- Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and Coimbra University did not create unnecessary problems which this claimant tolerantly endured for many years, but the contrary instead;
- this claimant made a random attack on an innocent individual; and this is also defamatory malicious falsehood for meaning that Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was not involved with financially prejudicing this claimant, and this is also defamatory malicious falsehood for meaning that Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo did not interfere with payment of a recent grant;
- the claimant has perpetrated this assault;
- the claimant has perpetrated this assault with a deadly weapon intended to kill;
- this claimant neither met nor exceeded the requirements to be awarded a Ph.D. before 2014;
- this claimant was not deprived of a PhD examination because of illegalities perpetrated against him;
- this claimant is not still a student;

- what this claimant did was serious;
and
- the axing was not partial retaliation.

These allegations amount to malicious falsehood and defamation on me and have caused me considerable distress and embarrassment. Allegations made against me by thee are false and thine interference with my right to deserved reputation and life is unjustified.

In the circumstances, I require de thee full and unequivocal public retractions and apologies in terms to be approved by me, stating in open court; an undertaking not to repeat these allegations; refunds of monies that I paid to the putative University of Coimbra; the social security of my 1st Portuguese contract; a fair PhD examination; a Ph.D.; salaries for 2011 onwards for me as a Ph.D. holder; and thorough answers to requests I made. I am entitled to substantial compensation for the injury to my reputation and feelings, as to which I invite thy proposals. In respect of damages I will point to the following facts and matters:

- thou hast exploited the fact that the criminal judge Rosa Pinto does not understand the words in English in **Evil** which is so-called science/whistleblowing is **not** a mental **illness**/Rui_Miguel_Curado_da_Silva **had me assaulted** as a result of printing this webpage.htm and in This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!_filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp;
- thou art working on behalf of a pervert who dishonestly prejudices me since more than 8 years ago;
- this evil pervert had sent me on a dangerous wild-goose chase in bad faith and thou art aware of this;
- you had and have perpetrated and perpetrate illegalities against me with the purpose of sabotaging my livelihood and reputation;
and
- a publishee refused to employ me.

I will also require payment by thee of all the legal costs I have incurred in this matter.

This letter is typed in accordance with the Pre-action Protocol for Defamation. Please respond without another delay. If I would not have received a satisfactory reply by you via courier or registered mail to R da Sofia, 139-2º Posterior, 3000-387 Coimbra by February 2005 (given thy connivance at the unreasonableness of a request by the European Space Agency to travel backwards through time) or if thou wouldst confess that a time machine is not real and that Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo is not a true physicist, then by the morning of 27/03/2017, then do not be surprised if a claim form would be brought against thee over this. Indicate to me as soon as is practicable, the name or names and address of a lawyer or lawyers of the jurisdiction of England and Wales who will accept service of proceedings on thy behalf should that eventuality arise. In the meantime, I reserve all my rights.

Thy sincerely,

Nicholas Collin Paul de Gloucester