

R da Sofia, 139-2º Posterior,
3000-387 Coimbra.

18/12/2016

Rui Miguel Curado da Silva,
Departamento de Física,
Universidade de Coimbra,
3004-516 Coimbra.

In the Matter of an Intended Action Between Nicholas Collin Paul de Gloucester v Rui Miguel Curado da Silva; Fergal MacErlean; University of Coimbra; a Person Unknown of Coimbra University; Foundation for Science and Technology; Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP; João Ramos; Public Prosecutor of Portugal; António Novais Teixeira; and MGN Ltd.

To Mr. Rui Miguel Curado da Silva,

Unfortunately since more than 8 years ago thou art abusing me. Misbehaviour of thy remorselessness included inter alia:

- thou hadst had aggravated assaults perpetrated against me during 2013;
and
- malicious falsehood covering up these aggravated assaults.

Thy persistence at misbehaviour (e.g. an unfounded accusation against my health which led onto my being assaulted and hospitalised during Spring 2013) instead of dispute resolution makes it inevitable that I shall sue thee.

Reporting involving the journalist Fergal MacErlean included imputing these clauses to thee:

“We think there was a big failure on the management of Colin's case between Coimbra and Irish specialists that ” “followed Colin after he was institutionalised.

It is a pity because both countries have specialists and infrastructures to deal with cases like this.

Colin is in the autistic spectrum and maybe there were problems in the co-ordination between psychiatric services in Ireland and Portugal that led to his action, when he came back to Portugal.”

These dishonestly professed allegations about me thou hast published meant and were understood to mean meanings including these:

- this claimant is immoral;
- this claimant is unreasonable;
- this claimant is hypocritical to denounce mistreatment only to replicate it because this

claimant is known to profess austere morality (e.g. is against injustice within the science community);

- this claimant attacked with an axe over losing a grant and is selfish and inconsiderate towards others;
- this claimant is not civilised;
- it is an act of madness;
- this claimant is not a calm person with emotional balance suitable for employment;
- this claimant was obviously mentally ill and needed help and has a mental illness and had insanity;
- this claimant did a thing which was not a justifiable thing to do;
- Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was axed over a PhD grant instead of partial retaliation as just cause over getting this claimant subjected to aggravated assaults in 2013 with Mr. Rui Miguel Curado da Silva; the University of Coimbra; the Foundation for Science and Technology; Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal being accomplices;
- the claimant has perpetrated this assault;
- the claimant has perpetrated this assault with a deadly weapon intended to kill;
- this claimant is dangerous;
- this claimant deprived Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo of a right to go to a safe workplace and not be assaulted (this claimant and a Portuguese criminal court observe that the reality was contrary — this claimant had gone to a workplace where he was assaulted because of Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo; Mr. Rui Miguel Curado da Silva; the University of Coimbra; the Foundation for Science and Technology; Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal);
- this claimant is a criminal at Level 1 of *Chase*;
- immoral harassment was not going on against this claimant;
- Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo; Mr. Rui Miguel Curado da Silva; the University of Coimbra; the Foundation for Science and Technology; Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal are not at faults;
- Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo; Mr. Rui Miguel Curado da Silva; the University of Coimbra; the Foundation for Science and Technology; Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal did not maliciously bankrupt this claimant;
- there were not years of illegalities of missing and/or incomplete and/or late payments, and this is also defamatory malicious falsehood for meaning that Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and Mr. Rui Miguel Curado da Silva did not owe this claimant thousands of Euro before she; Mr. Curado da Silva; and this claimant applied to the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology for a PhD grant following an offer by her and by Mr. Curado da Silva of an earlier grant in bad faith (bait and switch) while dishonouring, *inter alia*, an obligation to this claimant demanded by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948;

- being an autist is being ill instead of being a member of a minority genetic race, or phrased differently: autism is an illness instead of a set of minority genetic races;
- autism is a dangerous illness;
- and
- psychiatric evaluation was not a wild-goose chase cooked by Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo; Mr. Rui Miguel Curado da Silva; the University of Coimbra; the Foundation for Science and Technology; Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP; and the Public Prosecutor of Portugal.

These allegations amount to malicious falsehood and defamation on me and have caused me considerable distress and embarrassment. Allegations made against me by thee are false and thine interference with my right to deserved reputation and life is unjustified.

In the circumstances, I require de thee a full and unequivocal public retraction and apology in terms to be approved by me, a statement in open court and an undertaking not to repeat these allegations. I am entitled to substantial compensation for the injury to my reputation and feelings, as to which I invite thy proposals. In respect of damages I will point to the following facts and matters:

- thou hadst sent me on a dangerous wild-goose chase in bad faith;
- thou hadst and hast perpetrated and perpetrate illegalities against me with the purpose of sabotaging my livelihood and reputation;
- and
- the persistence and relentlessness with which thou art operating against me.

I will also require payment by thee of all the legal costs I have incurred in this matter.

This letter is typed in accordance with the Pre-action Protocol for Defamation. Please respond without another delay. If I would not have received a satisfactory reply by thee via courier or registered mail to R da Sofia, 139-2º Posterior, 3000-387 Coimbra, Portugal by February 2005 (given thy connivance at the unreasonableness of a request by the European Space Agency to travel backwards through time) or if thou wouldst confess that a time machine is not real, then by the morning of 13/01/2017, then do not be surprised if a claim form would be brought against thee over this. Indicate to me as soon as is practicable, the name or names and address of a lawyer or lawyers of the jurisdiction of England and Wales who will accept service of proceedings on thy behalf should that eventuality arise. In the meantime, I reserve all my rights.

Thy sincerely,

Nicholas Collin Paul de Gloucefter