

Evil which is so-called science

[A message for the blog-commenter Doctor Plantarum](#)

[Paul Colin de Gloucester \(2013\): Referees Often Miss Obvious Errors in Computer and Electronic Publications, *Accountability in Research: Policies and Quality Assurance*, 20:3, 143-166 \(preprints thereof are available\)](#)

Relevant fora include:

- [SciFraud, HTTPS://ListServ.Albany.edu/cgi-bin/wa?A0=SCIFRAUD](https://ListServ.Albany.edu/cgi-bin/wa?A0=SCIFRAUD) — [old emails from 1988 to 1998 are archived at WWW.Albany.edu/~scifraud/scilog/index.html](#) and [new emails \(from June 1996 or later\) are archived at HTTPS://ListServ.Albany.edu/cgi-bin/wa?A0=SCIFRAUD](#)
- [HTTP://Forum.Bolseiros.org](http://Forum.Bolseiros.org) — this is a very useful self-help website for researchers in or moving to or funded by Portugal, including legal advice and whistleblowing. It was created by ABIC (Associação dos Bolseiros de Investigação Científica, WWW.ABIC-online.org) which is connected to the Portuguese National Union of Higher Education (Sindicato Nacional do Ensino Superior, SNESup, WWW.SNESup.Pt)
- WWW.JISCMail.ac.UK/DEFENDING-ACADEMIC-FREEDOM — "a forum for views on defending and developing academic freedom for the education and research community", it is also used for [whistleblowing, especially in the British Isles](#)
- WWW.JISCMail.ac.UK/lists/CONTRACTCHEATING.html
- [HTTP://ForumSNESup.Wordpress.com](http://ForumSNESup.Wordpress.com) — [forum](#) of the Portuguese National Union of Higher Education (Sindicato Nacional do Ensino Superior, SNESup, WWW.SNESup.Pt)
- WWW.JISCMail.ac.UK/lists/PLAGIARISM.html
- [HTTP://offengesprochen.Wordpress.com](http://offengesprochen.Wordpress.com)

Retractions of papers:

- WWW.RetractionWatch.com
- Retracted.org
- HTTP://retract.Rutgers.edu/about.php
- as with many things on or hyperlinked to from this website, these resources (and other resources such as [Web of Knowledge](#)) for detecting retractions are fragmentary

More whistleblowing

- [PLAGIAT ET FRAUDE SCIENTIFIQUE : LA PERSPECTIVE ACADÉMIQUE](#)
- WWW.ScientificRedCards.org
- WWW.Whistleblower.org
- WWW.Scienceblogs.De/kritisch-gedacht/ — [Kritisch gedacht - Aufklärung, Vernunft und Wissenschaft gegen Pseudowissenschaft und die Lügen der Esoterik](#)
- BulliedAcademics.BlogSpot.com
- [A Habit of Lies — How Scientists Cheat](#)
- ScientificMisbehavior.BlogSpot.Pt
- Scientific-Misconduct.BlogSpot.com

Whistle blowing is not a mental illness

[Whistle blowing is not a mental illness](#)

Partial Audio(-visual) Recordings

- [The Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984\(Tape-recording of Interviews\) \(No. 1\) Order 1991](#)
- [The Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 \(Tape-recording of Interviews\) \(Amendment\) Order 2001](#)
- [Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984](#)
- Gary Muldoon during 2004: "[. . .]"

At least two other states now require that interrogations be recorded if the government seeks to use them or the resultant statements as evidence, see *State v. Scales*, 518 NW2d 587, 592 (Minn. 1994); *Stephan v. Alaska*, 711 P2d 1156, 1158 (Alaska 1985), and model codes recommend such procedures. See Model Code of Pre-Arrest Procedure [. . . Section] 130.4(3) (1975); Uniform R Crim P 243(b); cf. TX Crim Pro art. 38.22(3)(a)(1) (1979 & electronic pocket part update 2001)."

- E. M. during 2004: "An example of Police technique in a preliminary interview in Hawaii from the late 80's:

The police notified a colleague of mine that they wished to interview him about a complaint they had received. My colleague already knew the complaint was against him for alleged felony terroristic threatening and asked me to sit in the interview as a witness to the interview.

The interviewing officer opened the interview by stating it was just a fact-finding interview, he just wanted to know about the alleged incident. I asked if he were going to read my colleague his "rights." The officer stated my colleague was not under arrest and therefore he need not be given his rights. I advised my colleague to terminate the interview and contact an attorney. I am not an attorney. The officer ordered me to leave, I refused and my colleague stated he wanted me to stay. The officer started to ask questions and take notes. I again advised my colleague to not answer and to contact his attorney. The officer stated I was interfering. He then noticed the tape recorder I had in my pocket and demanded to know if I was recording; I wasn't but stated that if he continued that I would. He became irate and demanded I cease recording. I reminded him that Hawaii State law allows any participant in an exchange to record that exchange in any manner he deems fit without notice to the other parties. The officer immediately terminated the interview and left, claiming he would be back with a warrant. He never returned; the complaint died for lack of evidence.

[. . .]

retired Union Representative"

- Recording is legal: [HTTP://privateWWW.Essex.ac.UK/~patrickp/ethics.html](http://privateWWW.Essex.ac.UK/~patrickp/ethics.html)
- It is legal to record conversations. E.g. from WWW.FraudeHelpdesk.nl/verklaring/p_erfenisfraude_p : "[. . .]"

Bewaar alle brieven en documenten die u van de fraudeurs heeft ontvangen en alle correspondentie van uw kant. Neem zo veel mogelijk telefonische gesprekken op en bewaar deze. Dit kan allemaal dienen als bewijs in een civiele zaak. Deze correspondentie is ook belangrijk voor politie en justitie; [. . .]"

- Gary Muldoon also during 2004: "[. . .] for example, a telephone or face-to-face conversation may be recorded by one of the participants, but not by others. [. . .] [. . .]"
- (fiction: *Der Klient* (copyright 1994) (*The Client* (copyright 1993)), John Grisham and Christel Wiemken, 6. Auflage, Hoffmann und Campe Verlag)

Corrupt judge Tiago Afonso Lopes de Miranda

[Tiago Afonso Lopes de Miranda](#) is an unethical judge

Blah

[Colin Paul Gloster](#)