

Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2017 17:52:44 +0000 (UTC)

From: Paul Colin Gloster

To: Conselho Superior da Magistratura <[. . .]@CSM.org.Pt>

Cc: Catherine Baksi <catherinebaksi@[. . .]>, Associação Sindical dos Magistrados Judiciais Portugueses <correio@ASJP.Pt>, Consultative Council of European Judges <ccje@COE.int>, Daniel Seidler <daniel.seidler@GIZ.De>, Fabian Klemme <fabian.klemme@GIZ.De>, Dr. Nihal Jayawickrama <nihaljayawickrama@HotMail.com>, European Network of Councils for the Judiciary <office@ENCJ.EU>, International Commission of Jurists <info@ICJ.org>, Corruption and Economic Crime Branch of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime <uncac.cop@unodc.org>, Criminal Justice Reform Unit of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime <criminal.justice@UNODC.org>, Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira <ricardonamora@[. . .]>, Manuela Nunes Ferreira <[. . .]@adv.OA.Pt>, Joana Couto de Sousa <joanacsousa-4867c@adv.OA.Pt>, Luís Pessoa Gaspar <luispgaspar-13132l@adv.OA.Pt>, Luís Pessoa Gaspar <LuisPessoaGaspar@GMail.com>, António Adelino Ramos Neto <aaneto@PSP.Pt>, cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt, Teresa Alexandra Nunes <Teresa.A.Nunes@Seg-Social.Pt>, Marie Pillon <pillon@CCBE.EU>, Maria Guadalupe Gabriel <guadalupe.gabriel-4610c@adv.OA.Pt>, Costa Amorim <camorim-1828p@adv.OA.Pt>, geral@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt, Lúgia Carneiro <ligiacarneiro@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt>, Eva González Fernández IURISGAL INTERNATIONAL NETWORK OF LAW FIRMS <evagonzalez@RivasMontero.com>, Carlos Felício da Costa <CFC.geral@GMail.com>, Carlos Felício da Costa <carlos.felicio.da.costa-3564c@advogados.OA.Pt>, Maria José Vicente <mariaj.vicente-2941c@adv.OA.Pt>, Fernanda Conceição <fernandaconceicao-3699C@adv.OA.Pt>, provedor.adjunto@Provedor-Jus.Pt, Instituto de Medicina Legal e Ciências Forenses <cml@DCINML.MJ.Pt>, João Paulo Pereira Martelo <jpmartelo@PSP.Pt>, Maria Benedita Figueiredo Bettencourt Dias <mariabenedita@[. . .]>

Subject: Immoral judge in Portugal: Paulo José Fernandes

Message-ID: <alpine.DEB.2.20.1704181651550.27399@yazh>

Dear Sir/Madam of the Portuguese Superior Council of Judges (High Council):

Portuguese is not the language of my nationality. English is also not the language of my nationality. Irish Gaelic is the language of my nationality. However, the judge Albertina Pedroso (the Chief of the Office of the Vice President of the Portuguese Superior Council of Judges) utilized English on 29th November 2014 to communicate with me about possibly making a disciplinary complaint against a judge.

Therefore I attempt to complain via English.

An immoral judge is the “Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra - Juiz 3”, namely: Paulo José Fernandes. I complain about malconduct by him of the Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

I repeatedly (since 5th August 2014) complain throughout Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR about being unfairly forced to utilize English. Ask e.g. Catarina Pinho; Manuela Nunes Ferreira; Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira; Manuela Pereira; Elsa Vieira de Andrade Rodrigues; Rosa Pinto; Ana Lopes Chaves; and Duarte Figueiredo and cf. e.g. fls. 566. Differences between English and the language of my nationality were unfairly utilized against me. Before the creation of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR, issues of English for me were documented by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology at Process Number 335/14.BECBR of the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra as a consequence of a crime which was perpetrated by the judge Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes read this document but he persists with prejudicing me via English.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes permits most of the documentation of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR to be exclusively in Portuguese. He ordered some of it to be translated into exclusively English. He did not order for any of it to be translated to the language of my nationality. He did not order for any of it to be translated to a language of my choosing.

I am the offended of this process. It is very offensive that Injustice Paulo José Fernandes dares to call a different person the offended.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes violates this conclusion of the Eighth Congress of Portuguese Judges:

“2. It is essential that judges permanently reflect on the principles of judicial ethics, consolidated in the central attributes of the judicial activity: independence, impartiality, integrity, humanism, diligence and reserve. Taking into account the singular nature of the body of judges, this reflection should be extended to their collective representation.”

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lacks independence. Cf. Chapter 2.

INDEPENDENCE of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 (

[WWW.ASJP.Pt/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/2-Versão-em-inglês-PORTUGUESE-JUDGES’-PLEDGE-OF-ETHICS.pdf](http://WWW.ASJP.Pt/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/2-Versão-em-inglês-PORTUGUESE-JUDGES’-PLEDGE-OF-ETHICS.pdf)

) by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges). The 2nd Republic of Portugal prejudices me because of my nationality since 2008. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes persists with this maltreatment by the 2nd Republic of Portugal of this migrant.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lacks impartiality. Cf. Chapter 3. IMPARTIALITY of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lacks integrity. Cf. Chapter 4. INTEGRITY of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lacks humanism. Cf. Chapter 5. HUMANISM of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lacks diligence. Cf. Chapter 6. DILIGENCE of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied about the name that identifies Father on fls. 817 and 835 in English dated “02-01-2017” (N.B. it is dated February 1st, 2017 instead of 2nd January 2017).

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied about residence on fls. 817 and 835. The proprietor of this house and your Service of Foreigners and Frontiers can confirm this allegation against Injustice Paulo José Fernandes.

I am obviously innocent but Injustice Paulo José Fernandes allows a Schauprozess on a trumped up charge that the judge Rosa Pinto of the same court publicly clarified during August 2014 that I was not under investigation for because it was obvious that I did not enact this (non-enacted) crime. (Schauprozess is a word of German. I do not know a translation of it to English. Cf. show trial.)

Other persons prejudice me because of unfairness by Injustice Paulo José

Fernandes.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes is the judge of the 2nd of 3 phases of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. He refused permission to summon more than half of the witnesses whom counsel nominated for this phase. These excluded witnesses included persons with qualifications of psychology who had determined that I am honest; dedicated to justice; acknowledging of rule enforcement; ethical; moral; and mentally healthy. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes purported to justify excluding testimonies like these because he professed that he could read documentation by persons like these mental specialists. However these exclusions and this boasting and his retention of false testimonies by the quack Nuno Gonçalo Gomes Fernandes Madeira of putative “Hospitals” of the putative “University” of Coimbra and by the “Judiciary” Police “woman” Sandra Roxo who dishonestly falsely impute mental illness to me caused a judge of the trial phase (the 3rd of 3 phases) of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR to demand a new psychiatric evaluation.

During the trial phase (the 3rd of 3 phases) of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR we are unfairly burdened by wasting resources by proving my mental health again (we already proved my mental health during 2013 and during 2014 (cf. Process Number 335/14.BECBR of the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra)). This distraction prejudices other tasks of ours. This caused me irrelevant expenditure (counsel is not gratis) and humiliation. Contrast with Ac. RL as of the 4th November 1981, Col. Jurisp., T. V, p. 184 and ss.

Scientists are migrants. I am a scientist therefore scientists contacted me. I am a migrant therefore migrants contacted me. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes refused us permission to summon to testify a scientist who migrated. This very important would-have-been testimony about the lack of illegality of a purported crime on 20th January 2015 does not even belong as part of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR which is about a crime which was perpetrated against me during

2013 by Injustice Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra (but I am framed as the arguido!), but Injustice Paulo José Fernandes permits it to be coalesced instead of being part of a separate process, thereby excessively restricting resources to defend me thereby prejudicing me. This caused me irrelevant expenditure (counsel is not gratis) and humiliation. Contrast with Ac. RL as of the 4th November 1981, Col. Jurisp., T. V, p. 184 and ss.

What I was originally under investigation for became time barred many months before Injustice Paulo José Fernandes became involved. I am also innocent of the topic of the original investigation. Cf. inter alia just cause protected by your law of criminal defamation and also ameaça lícita and legítima defesa. I was not responsible for the defamation that provoked me. Cf. Article 283(2) of your Code of Penal Proceedings. I should not be trialed. I should not had even been indicted. Cf. Article 286 Paragraph 1 of your Code of Penal Proceedings. This “investigation” took an inexcusably inordinate amount of time so as to attempt to fabricate lame excuses for this Schauprozess. This caused me irrelevant expenditure (counsel is not gratis) and humiliation. Contrast with Ac. RL as of the 4th November 1981, Col. Jurisp., T. V, p. 184 and ss.

It is beyond doubt (cf. Article 283(2) of your Code of Penal Proceedings) that no witness prevented me de killing and that if I had attempted to kill Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo of Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP then she would not have continued to live on 5th August 2014.

The first two phases of this process were biased against me because they were based on perjury and false facts. These judges knew this. The German word Schauprozess corresponds to the first two phases of this process. Deciding to continue this slow process causes violations of Article 6 – Right to a fair trial; Article 13 – Right to an effective remedy; Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination; Article 5 – Right to liberty and security; Article 1 – Obligation to

respect human rights; Article 2 – Right to life; Article 4 – Prohibition of slavery and forced labour; Article 8 – Right to respect for private and family life; Article 9 – Freedom of thought, conscience and religion; Article 10 – Freedom of expression; Article 11 – Freedom of assembly and association; Article 17 – Prohibition of abuse of rights; and Article 18 – Limitation on use of restrictions on rights of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

There is a very big distinction between morality and decisions of judges in Coimbra. António Adelino Ramos Neto distinguished between immoral conduct and illegal conduct on 8th February 2017 when I was testifying against immoral behavior (cf. NUP 2017CBR0003AVE) by career criminals who are employed as agents of the Public-Security Police in Coimbra who violated an order by the judge Rosa Pinto of Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra and the PSP lied about a court order. (Unlike good agents of the PSP in Coimbra and elsewhere.) The European Court of Human Rights had ruled on many occasions against countries for legally (not illegally) violating fundamental human rights. Even Injustice Paulo José Fernandes himself distinguished between illegality and immorality on Page 821:

“[. . .]  
[. . .] the law does not require proof in the  
sense of moral certainty [. . .]  
[. . .]  
[. . .]”

Thus this self-contradictory decision of Injustice Paulo José Fernandes (like many other judges in Coimbra) proved that Injustice Paulo José Fernandes is malicious; perverse; conniving; immoral; and guilty of indiscipline.

Contrarily to false facts on fls. 821 and 836, I did not come to Polo 1 “at about

1:30 PM”. I said “Don't worry” to Filipa Morão Machado at 1:15p.m. and I departed soon after then (much earlier than 1:30p.m.) because she was being even more impolite than earlier during this conversation. Sílvia (de Fátima Sousa Soares) Figueiredo did not promptly take me to Filipa Morão Machado. Sílvia (de Fátima Sousa Soares) Figueiredo; Filipa Morão Machado; and I were never together. I was waiting at Polo 1 for much time. E.g. my ticket number of this day was called at 12:19 and my meeting of this day with Filipa Morão Machado was commenced circa half an hour later. Filipa Morão Machado unjustifiably demanded €5860.39 potentially plus an undisclosed amount before 1:10p.m. instead of merely “5560.00€ plus interest”. All of these demanded monies were supposed to be covered by scholarships, and therefore not owed by me. Filipa Morão Machado had not “provided him with the information he sought”. E.g. inter alia she prevaricated about if this sum of €5860.39 would be paid immediately, would the putative University of Coimbra perpetrate another scam by demanding me to pay even more. When the co-supervisor “Dr.” Rui Miguel Curado da Silva invited me to become a doctoral student of a Portuguese “university”, he professed that I would not need to pay the University of Coimbra. After I moved to Portugal the “University” of Coimbra demanded me to pay.

Curiously Injustice Paulo José Fernandes conceals the true fact that I spoke to Filipa Morão Machado while I held the ax and I said e.g. “Don't worry” to her while indicating to her whom (at the Department of False Physics of the False University of Coimbra (FUC)) it would be utilized on if necessary.

Contrarily to false facts on fls. 822 and 836, I did not “Later on, at about 3:00 PM, the accused came to the Department of Physics”. As I testified to Manuela Pereira and Sandra Roxo, I arrived immediately at the Department of False Physics of the False University of Coimbra. Therefore I was already located there at (and before) 1:30p.m. but Injustice Paulo José Fernandes dishonestly professed on fls. 821 that “at about 1:30 PM” I “came to” a different building on a different road.



I never went to Room G contrarily to inter alia fls. 836.

False facts on fls. 822 (cf. fls. 836 and 837) include inter alia this absence of confession of defamatory provocation:

“[. . .]

- After the door had been opened to him by the offended, the accused stood at the entrance as he was talking with her, yet at some point he raised the tone of his voice,  
reason why the offended asked him to withdraw. As the accused did not withdraw and  
increased even more his voice tone, in a threatening attitude, the offended attempted to  
close the door of the room, which she was prevented of doing by the defendant who had  
put his foot on the base of the door.

- Then the defendant pushed the door, pushing also the offended, and entered the room.

- It was then that the defendant withdrew the hatchet he had concealed on his waist and, with its blade, began striking blows aimed at the chest of the offended, who  
in order to avoid being hit on the chest placed her arms and hands in front of her chest  
area; various blows having been struck against her chest, [. . .]  
[. . .].”

Contrast with the truth de 4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds after the beginning of

[HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!)

\_Go\_back\_to\_Ireland!\_ filename\_Recording\_20140804\_101602.3gpp

-

Paul Colin Gloster: Maria Filomena

[. . .]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo [at 23 seconds (+ 4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds)]: Close the door [. . .]

[. . .]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: This is not your country. Go to your country. [. . .]

[. . .]

Paul Colin Gloster: I was not paid the full amount of the [. . .] of which you were an element of the jury which selected me.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: That's your problem.

That's your problem. That's your problem. I don't care about it. I want to work and I must.

[. . .]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: you've been institutionalized because you were ill so [. . .]

Paul Colin Gloster: I was not ill. Doctors proved

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: that I was healthy.

[. . .]

Paul Colin Gloster: Rui

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: Ferreira

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: Marques

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Go. Go.

Paul Colin Gloster: and Rui Miguel

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: Curado da Silva;

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Goodbye.

Paul Colin Gloster: Paulo Jorge Ribeiro da Fonte; and LIP

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: lied about me.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay. Bye bye.

Paul Colin Gloster: How many, how much of the social security for my first contract was paid?

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Go.

Paul Colin Gloster: social security [. . .] not paid [. . .]

Cf. complaining by me against the lawyer Bárbara Ranito to the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados; and Process Number 220/14.0T9CBR at Comarca de Coimbra - Ministério Público, Coimbra - DIAP - 2ª Secção, Rua da Sofia, 175-2º, 3000-391 Coimbra.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes never referred to the private prosecutrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo. He repeatedly referred to Maria Filomena de Carvalho Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo, whom I do not know by name; and who is not a party of a process of which I am a party. I only ever heard of Maria Filomena de Carvalho Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo via documentation by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes de Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

Sharif Hasan Mahmoud Ghithan perpetrated perjury on 15th December 2014 (cf. inter alia fls. 245 and 246) and on 23rd June 2015 and this prosecution is based on pretending this false testimony to supposedly be true testimony.

Contrarily to fls. 823 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes, Sharif (Hasan Mahmoud) Ghithan did not “immobilise” me.

Medical reports (cf. e.g. fls. 824 and 838) do not even remotely indicate a danger to life. It is not reasonable to believe that I am guilty of what I am charged with.

I quote de fls. 825 and 840 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes:

“[. . .]

- The defendant was then asked if he had a sound recording device in his possession, which he admitted as a possibility.”

I really unequivocally said during this interrogation to Manuela Pereira and the “Inspector” police “woman” Sandra Roxo that I definitely (not merely possibly) had a recording device. As testimonies to this fact I indicate the policewoman Manuela Pereira and the lawyers Ana Lopes Chaves and Duarte Figueiredo. Furthermore I insist that you obtain as evidence a copy of an audio recording proving what I said then. (Nota bene Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied about the file name of a recording on fls. 826 and 840. It was really called

Recording\_20150120\_133836.3gpp

- cf. fls. 447.)

On fls. 826 and 840, Injustice Paulo José Fernandes falsely purports that two of my tablets were found then and seized.

Furthermore, such a recording proves that I spoke in the language of my nationality (the policewoman Manuela Pereira confessed that she does not comprehend this language) and that an interpreter was not provided. An interpreter was never provided during a process of mine.

As reported by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on fls. 826 and 840, a tablet of mine was set to a “foreign language” (cf. fls. 275 and 288: “em língua [. . .] desconhece”). Each judge of this process prejudices me by forcing me to utilize a different language which is not of my nationality and which I do not choose to utilize and which had been confirmed (for an earlier (administrative) process: Process Number 335/14.BECBR) by evaluations by persons with degrees in psychiatry and psychology that I have issues with including that it (English) had

been misused against me by quacks in Portugal.

Dr. David B. Resnik is the editor of a scientific magazine. This scientific magazine published a whistleblowing scientific article by me about a fraudulent article which is coauthored by the perverse parasite Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP. Dr. David B. Resnik criticized my English e.g. when he requested: “Please get some more help with your English.”

Contrarily to a false fact by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on fls. 826, the (putative) “inspector” Sandra Roxo was aware that I was recording. Cf. fls. 129; 137; and 139 of August 2014 which refer to an earlier recording. The corrupt police officer Sandra Roxo is scared of responsibility. The lawyer Duarte Figueiredo informed me on a later day that on the day that Sandra Roxo robbed a tablet off me, she (falsely) imputed mental illness to me. I had not been informed during this interrogation of this new accusation of mental illness as an interpreter was not utilized and the lawyer Duarte Figueiredo was scared by the behavior of Sandra Roxo.

Article 6 – Right to a fair trial of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms does not permit the legal system in Coimbra to publicly lie about me and to prevent me de publicly exposing its dishonesty for my own safety.

Dishonesty by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on fls. 826 and 840 includes inter alia:

“[. . .]

-In fact, the defendant did not inform he was recording the interrogation, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful.”

This is trivially disproved by law; many precedents of it being lawful to record against the wills of participants of discussions; and the unchallenged submission (not by me) of a different recording during August 2014 as evidence for this same process. Each person is equivalent before the law of Portugal, but in Portugal a person of nationality of the 2nd Republic of Portugal is more equivalent than a person lacking this nationality. The Public Prosecution Service had decided to not persist with this charge before fls. 826 has been created.

If this baloney to maintain a charge to trial would be a valid principle of law, then I would be able to reuse this argument against me by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes against Injustice Paulo José Fernandes and against many other hypocritical criminals who dishonestly profess to care about law as follow . . .

In fact, Injustice Paulo José Fernandes did not inform that he was depriving me of an interpreter, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied about me. The Portuguese-English interpreter Elsa Vieira de Andrade Rodrigues reported to me that the “Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra” called me “English” on 11th January 2017. (I was not called “English” in defamation on me in articles attributed to journalists.)

In fact, the judge Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra during Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR did not inform that quacks lied about my nationality, nor did she request authorisation to do so, and therefore she was aware that such conduct was unlawful.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police João Paulo Pereira Martelo (“Matrícula n.º 141292”) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 2 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police Celestino do Santos Simões Marques did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 2; 3; and 16 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, agents (including Jorge Manuel Jarmela Rodrigues (“Matrícula n.º 136739”)) of the Public-Security Police did not inform that they lied about my nationality, nor did they request authorisation to do so, and therefore they were aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 5; and 18 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police Jorge Manuel Jarmela Rodrigues (“Matrícula n.º 136739”) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 5; and 8 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR and fls. 15 of Inquiry 1347/14.4PCCBR.

In fact, the Service of Foreigners and Frontiers did not inform that it lied about my nationality, nor did it request authorisation to do so, and therefore it was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 12 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police João Paulo Mena Lopes (“Matrícula n.º 142625”) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 16 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR and fls. 2 and 13 of NPP: 338856/2014 and NUIPC: 001347/14.4 PCCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police José António Henriques Fernandes did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 17;

and 106 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the public prosecutrix Maria Madalena Almeida Peres did not inform that she lied about my nationality, nor did she request authorisation to do so, and therefore she was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 20 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, lawyers of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo (A. Novais Teixeira and Fernando José Bandeira) did not inform that they lied about my nationality, nor did they request authorisation to do so, and therefore they were aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 37; 49; and 115 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, a lawyer etc. of the putative University of Coimbra (ACJC Advogados and Afonso Pedrosa) did not inform that they lied about my nationality, nor did they request authorisation to do so, and therefore they were aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 55; and 65 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, João Ramos of the Department of Investigation and Penal Action (DIAP - Deliberate Ignorance Avoiding Prosecution) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 159 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, Teresa Cardoso did not inform that she lied about residence, nor did she request authorisation to do so, and therefore she was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 554 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

The judge Rosa Pinto of the “Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra”; the public prosecutrices Madalena Peres (possibly a pseudonym of the public prosecutrix Maria Madalena Almeida Peres) and Olga Coimbra; and the Oficial de Justiça Miguel Pinto perpetrated perjury. Cf. e.g. fls. 26 and 569 of Process Number



123/14.9PFCBR.

I never said things which were dishonestly imputed to me when being successfully utilized to petition judges to prejudice me via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR and Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. Cf. fls. 40; 42; 44; 48; 59; 62; 109; 117; 125; 139; 214; 245; 250; and 257 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. Contrast these false facts with what were really said on [HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!\\_Go\\_back\\_to\\_Ireland!\\_filename\\_Recording\\_20140804\\_101602.3gpp](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!_filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp)

I had been illegally assaulted by a court order of Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR during 2013 because of documentation which I had given to the Judiciary Police during 2012. I still have not been summoned to testify about what I complained to the Judiciary Police about during 2012. The legal system in Coimbra lies about me and prejudices me. I was completely justified to attempt to protect myself via recording. The police“woman” Sandra Roxo perpetrated perjury (cf. inter alia fls. 283 where she imputed stating to me differing significantly de what I said during this interrogation: contrast with an audio partial recording that was robbed off me by the Judiciary Police during this interrogation (or indeed contrast with administrative recording since before the creation of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR)).

Contrarily to a false fact by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on fls. 826, I have authorisation by Article 6 – Right to a fair trial; and Article 5 – Right to liberty and security of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms to record.

Fellow scientists approved of recording by me. Scientists typed on the Internet:  
“[. . .]”

6[regarded as good] 0 [regarded as bad]

Rate This

I think you made very pertinent questions and remarks which I have been pondering myself over this case. Yet maybe a bit too many to expect answers. I WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND, AGAIN, SCANNING THE RECORDINGS[emphasis by me] available online at Collin's website of the events of the day, where you will find answers to some questions, such as THE LANGUAGE ISSUE[emphasis by me], dates of events, etc. It is not everyday that we find such TRANSPARENCY IN A COMPLICATED SITUATION LIKE THIS, AND I THINK THERE IS MUCH TO BE LEARNT[emphasis by me]. Hope Collin will answer you. Peace to all.

CR

[. . .]

4[regarded as good] 0 [regarded as bad]

Rate This

Guys YOU MUST LISTEN TO THE RECORDINGS[emphasis by me] of what happened on that day to understand more about what was going on. It is online, just google for this person's name. [. . .] it seems moral harassment was going on[. . .]

CR

[. . .]"

I quote de fls. 827:

“toward the arms and wrists, where important veins and arteries; him being aware that it

could cause death,”: survival after a limb is cut is very probable. I acted especially carefully to avoid death. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes insulting connives at these. Digs at her neck or a knife into a vital organ would have easily killed her. I deliberately avoided such dangerous strategies. Instead I deliberately aimed at a non-lethal body part with a non-lethal tool (which was more difficult to obtain than a lethal weapon).

Medical reports confirm that she was cut exclusively at non-lethal body parts. She and witnesses on behalves of hers strain to make it seem that this was attempted murder. They irreconcilably contradict themselves as to what vital body part I purportedly aimed at. Each witness who imputed attempted murder to me had given trivially provable false testifying before Injustice Paulo José Fernandes has become involved. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes was obligated to strike out these false facts and these charges. He immorally refused to strike them out.

I quote de fls. 827 and 841 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lying again: “death, which he represented and intended.” I did not intend death. Injustice Paulo José Fernandes knows that I am a human rights' activist. Cf. would-have-been testimonies that he refused us to utilize. Cf. a report by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology that he boasted of being able to read. Cf. fls. inter alia 87; and 109 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR, which had been illegally utilized against me to beat me up via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR.

I quote de fls. 827 and 841 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lying again:  
“- The defendant, at all times, acted freely, wilfully and knowingly, [. . .]  
[. . .]”

Therefore Injustice Paulo José Fernandes contradicted Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR of Injustice Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra. Rife inconsistencies of criminal courts of Coimbra prove that criminal judges in Coimbra perpetrate crimes: they are criminals.

Schauprozesse victimize me. I did not freely and wilfully choose to be assaulted via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR. Partial retaliation on 4th August 2014 against Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was caused by defamatorily provoking me; and was a natural; foreseeable; and legal consequence of my being a victim of crime of Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR. I did not freely and wilfully choose to be a victim of crimes.

I quote de fls. 827 (and cf. fls. 835) and fls. 841 by the conniver Injustice Paulo José Fernandes:

“-The defendant was aware of the offended capacity as University Professor, because he had earlier contacted her, on several occasions, in regard of his PhD studies.”

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes concealed the true nature of how I am aware of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and of what we were talking about. She hired me as non-University non-PhD staff. Our first contact with ourselves was via this non-University non-PhD context. We were talking about this non-PhD non-University social security on 4th August 2014. Inhumane Social Security of Portugal had been satisfied to violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 by not paying me because it had been brainwashed by Orwellian defamatory propaganda about a PhD scholarship instead of truthful reporting. I provided inhumane Social Security of Portugal with a recording proving that I had complained on 4th August 2014 to Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo about withheld social security therefore inhumane Social Security of Portugal has knowingly unwilfully paid me. Contrarily to fls. 835 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes, when I was poisoned during 2013 because of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo this poisoning was not “of those duties”. Speaking to her about non-University social security was not “in her capacity as University Professor and because of those duties”. She is “brutal”. I am not “brutal”. I gave her ample opportunities to apologize and to partially

make amends. She brutally declined each such opportunity. If I would have attempted to kill her, then I would not have needed to talk to her to implore her to confess that I had been wronged by her and I would not have needed to aim a non-lethal weapon at a wrist/arm.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied about my nationality on fls. 828. If my nationality would be of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, then I would not be abused by the 2nd Republic of Portugal.

The 2nd Republic of Portugal did not provide suitable counsel for this process. Consequences of obeying the counsel Carlos Felício da Costa include: crime was perpetrated against me by the Public-Security Police of Coimbra on 5th August 2014 (cf. complaining by me at 5:53p.m. on 5th August 2014 against António Ferraz and João Lopes); the judges Paulo José Fernandes and Rosa Pinto made excessively prejudicial court orders against me; and I am charged with a crime I did not enact. Cf. complaining by me to the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados against Carlos Felício da Costa: Processo nº 227/2014-C/I. Therefore other counsel conscientiously volunteered to represent me via a futile attempt to restrict my victimization by this criminal country. This victimization since the previous decade makes it impossible for me to pay. I never paid counsel even 1 cent for even a modicum of this process. It would not be fair to further burden conscientious counsel with unnece

ssary questions. I had already been given Portuguese-legal advice that it is legal to record discussions. The totality of the lawyers of the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados of my complaining against the lawyer Bruno Saramago (Processo nº 191/2014-C/I) can confirm that they and Bruno Saramago and the Conseil des barreaux européens (CCBE) did not object to audio recording being illegal evidence against Bruno Saramago. I had spoken to the Public-Security Police about recording and it did not object to recording.

Recordings had been made by

the co-supervisor Rui Miguel Curado da Silva and the accomplices Professor Rui

Ferreira Marques and Professor Paulo Jorge Ribeiro da Fonte during 2012 without authorisation without informing me and they typed lies about me and Paulo Alexandre Cunha Gomes said a lie about me during 2011 (cf. Process Number 2074/12.2TACBR) and Professor Joaquim Marques Ferreira dos Santos (a relative to Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo) said a lie about me during 2012 and quacks in Portugal and the Public-Security Police and judges in Coimbra during 2013 wrote lies about me when they concealed aggravated assaults against me on behalves of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and the European Space Agency and the Public-Security Police lied to me and I was never given an interpreter in Portugal therefore I recorded after aggravated assaults to protect me: I “acknowledged” my “rights” (cf. fls. 830) to not be assaulted again based on purported stating dishonestly imputed to me by the legal system of Portugal.

Malice by the judge Paulo José Fernandes can be inferred by his inconsistency. E.g. he pretends that I must rely on counsel but he has ruled against the same counsel. The Tribunal Administrativo de Círculo de Lisboa had during 2014 ruled against the same counsel via Process Number 230/14.8BECBR on a critical point of law instead of a point of fact. I invite Paulo José Fernandes to convict himself. I invite Paulo José Fernandes to also convict each judge of Process Number 230/14.8BECBR of the Tribunal Administrativo de Círculo de Lisboa. I invite the Portuguese Superior Council of Judges (High Council) to decide against them. Furthermore legal experts, including but not restricted to the same counsel, informed me that disobedience on 5th August 2014 by the Public-Security Police of a court order by the judge Rosa Pinto constituted a crime and indiscipline and that there would be proceedings against the Public-Security Police. We complained during August 2014 and more than 2 years afterwards I still have not been summoned to testify for that criminal process. More than 2 years afterwards I still have not been summoned to testify for that

disciplinary process. In fact unfair court orders by Rosa Pinto and Paulo José Fernandes and paperwork of the prosecution of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR rely on that crime by the Public-Security Police (cf. inter alia fls. 42), and they carefully avoid referring to the fact that it is illegal such as to connive at the Fruit-of-poisonous-tree doctrine. I demand Paulo José Fernandes to inform me of the status of that criminal process against the Public-Security Police.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied on fls. 830:

“[. . .]

[. . .] the accused [. . .]

[. . .]

[. . .] in his own

words, with the intent of making the copy available to the media - pgs. 452.

[. . .]

[. . .]”

This is not a verbatim quotation of what I said.

It “is evident” that I am not “An “average” person” contrarily to fls. 830 (cf. my unusual nationality and my racial and righteous extremism (as documented by inter alia fls. inter alia 87; and 109; a report by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology that he boasted of being able to read; and would-have-been testimonies that he refused us to utilize.)

I am “with a strict ethical and social conscience”. Cf. fls. 830; a report by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology that he boasted of being able to read; would-have-been testimonies that he refused us to utilize; and fls. inter alia 87; and 109. E:g. I did not gain a benefit for myself by donating scarce monies to human rights' charities (cf. fls. 87): I donated monies to help even more unfortunate victims than myself because I am “with a strict ethical and social conscience”. I “acknowledged” my

“duties”: cf. fls. 830. The witness Professor Paulo Alexandre Vieira Crespo truthfully testified to Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on 1st February 2017 that I canceled an application for employment when I discovered that this employment involves murder. No documentation of this lawsuit that I was shown documents this fact therefore I infer that Injustice Paulo José Fernandes is guilty of perversion of the course of justice.

The Machiavellian Paulo José Fernandes professes on fls. 830:

“An “average” person, with a strict ethical and social conscience, in the face of the circumstances above would feel compelled to ask information of the law enforcement officers who conducted his enquiry [ . . . ] [ . . .].”

Law-enforcement officers have not been present at this enquiry. The perverse defamer Sandra Roxo of the Judiciary Police has been present instead. I did ask information of the Judiciary Police and the Judiciary Police refused to answer. Cf. an audio recording. The dishonest judges Beatriz Cruz; Carlos A. M. de Castro Fernandes; Ferreira Gapo; Tiago Afonso Lopes de Miranda; Isabel Mendes Simões; Teresa Costa Alemão; Joaquim Cruzeiro; the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra (cf. a judgment by José Veloso; Fernanda Brandão; and Isabel Soeiro of the Secção de Contencioso Administrativo do Tribunal Central Administrativo Norte of Process Number 333/11.0BECBR who ruled that the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra had wronged me); the Public Prosecutrix Vera Cristina da Silva Gomes; the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, I.P.; Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra; Presidente do Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra; the judge Sara André dos Reis Marques; Daniel G. of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra; the quack Nuno Gonçalo Gomes Fernandes Madeira; Graça Santos; António Reis Marques; the Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra; a quack Maria José some-illegible-surname (maybe Reis or Pais) some-other-illegible-surname; the Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.; Presidente do Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra; the Director do



Serviço Gestão de Doentes, Serviço Gestão de Doentes, Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra; Diretor do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E. - Hospital Sobral Cid; journalists; Paulo José Fernandes etc. did not “ask information”. Each person is equivalent before the law of Portugal, but in Portugal a person of nationality of the 2nd Republic of Portugal is more equivalent than a person lacking this nationality. Swine. A lawyer warned me that it would not had been possible to prosecute journalists

for “dissemination through the media” of defamation over a false fact because they could plead that the Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra is the source of this false fact. This defamation indicates a lack of “secrecy” contrarily to fls. 830.

The judge Rosa Pinto; other judges; the Judiciary Police; and courts had behaved unethically. I was entitled to inform a journalist of this misbehavior. Cf. the 7th Chapter of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges):

“[. . .]

4. The need to give greater democratic transparency to the activity of judges leads the judge to understand and accept the increasing importance of communication, as a form of allowing for public knowledge and the legitimate exercise of the right to criticize the activity of the courts and the decisions of judges.

For this reason, in cases which are clearly of public interest, the judge keeps in mind the need to guarantee the right to information, via the provision of necessary and appropriate clarifications, under his direct responsibility or through bodies to which the management and representation of judges have been assigned, under the terms provided for in law.

Particularly in cases where the procedures or decisions should be directly communicated to the parties in the case or to the public under his direct responsibility, the judge ensures that this is done in an appropriate manner, keeping in mind the normal difficulties of the average citizen in understanding

legal language and rituals. However, in this case, when providing clarification to the public on his own decision, the judge does not express in public any reasons which are not contained in the respective statement of grounds.

[. . .].”

I did “feel compelled to ask information of the” Portuguese-English interpreter (cf. fls. 830) with Paulo José Fernandes and me on 1st February 2017 because she was talking via English/Portuguese/Latin instead of an appropriate language. However, the Machiavellian Paulo José Fernandes is not “with a strict ethical and social conscience” therefore he forbade me ask translation de this so-called interpreter (a person who does not speak the language of my nationality and who does not speak a preferred language) for me to comprehend it. Nota bene that Paulo José Fernandes ordered this so-called interpreter to not utilize a microphone, thereby compromising evidence of malconduct by Paulo José Fernandes. Cf. the refusal of putative Hospitals of the putative University of Coimbra to release evidence which would incriminate themselves. Therefore I was unfairly deprived of a would-had-been opportunity to prosecute over aggravated assaults which were ordered by the judge Sara Andr ♦♦ dos Reis Marques: assaults which counsel of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR said that I could prosecute over.

Malicious hypocrite Paulo José Fernandes is a pervert. Cf. e.g. fls. 830:

“[. . .]

[. . .] It therefore

become irrelevant to determine whether or not the facts are different from those indicted unto the defendant, [. . .]

[. . .].”

Connivance by Paulo José Fernandes is “a blameworthy conduct” and illegal. Cf. Article 17, Paragraph 2 of your Penal Code and p. 341-342 of “O Problema da Consciência da Illicitude em Direito Penal”.

At fls. 831 Injustice Paulo José Fernandes relies on perjury by Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo (not Maria Filomena de Carvalho Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo) on fls. 215 to have “proven” the trumped up charge of:  
“[. . .]

[. . .]

- The repeatedly struck blows were aimed at the chest of the offended -  
statements of pgs. 215, Maria Filomena de Carvalho Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo,  
where

vital organs are lodged; [. . .]

[. . .]”.

It is trivial to prove that this false testimony by Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo is false testimony. E.g. contrast this excerpt of perjury by her with a transcript:

“[. . .]

66 Ao início a conversação entre ambos foi estabelcida em tom normal, no entanto

67 a certa altura o Colin começou a elevar o tom de voz e foi evidenciando sinais de

68 exaltação, revelando alguma agressividade, ao ponto da depoente, com o objectivo de

69 acabar com aquela falta de respeito e receando seriamente pela sua integridade física -

70 tentar fechar a porta da sala, [. . .]

[. . .]”

whereas I was polite to her then as normal and she aggressively shouted at me and she attempted to close this door at fewer than 23 seconds without provocation:

de 4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds after the beginning of

[HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!  
\\_Go\\_back\\_to\\_Ireland!\\_\\_filename\\_Recording\\_20140804\\_101602.3gpp](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!__filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp)

-

Paul Colin Gloster: Maria Filomena

[. . .]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo [at 23 seconds (+ 4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds)]: Close the door [. . .]

[. . .]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: This is not your country. Go to your country. [. . .]

Paul Colin Gloster: I can do without racist discrimination.

Contrarily to fls. 832, I did not have much “energy” and “force” because I have insufficient access to food. If all of these blows had been on the same little finger, then this little finger would maintain its connection to this hand.

[HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!\\_Go\\_back\\_to\\_Ireland!\\_\\_filename\\_Recording\\_20140804\\_101602.3gpp](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!__filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp) is “revealing”.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was not on a table.

Contrast with fls. 832. Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo did not faint. She ran away. It is impossible to faint and run. It is not truthful to say that she was “with “her forearms slashed off””. Cf. medical reports to the effect that she continues to have 2 arms.

Contrarily to fls. 832, Sharif H(asan) Mahmoud (Ghithan) never “held” me.

Contrarily to fls. 832, Sharif H(asan) Mahmoud (Ghithan) never “grabbed” me.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo attempted to (and continues to attempt to) murder me. She attempted with special blameworthiness and wickedness to murder me via deprivation of food. Cf. fls. 833:

“The Penal Code states:

Article 132

## Aggravated Murder

1 - If death is produced in circumstances that reveal particular blameworthiness or wickedness, the agent

is punishable with imprisonment penalty from twelve to twenty-five years.

2 - It is likely to reveal special blameworthiness or wickedness referred to in the previous paragraph,

among others, the circumstance of the agent:

[. . .]

(c) Practising the fact against a particularly vulnerable person, due to [. . .] disability, illness [. . .]

(d) Employing torture or acts of cruelty to increase the suffering of the victim;

(e) Being determined by greed, by pleasure to kill or to cause suffering, out of excitement or for the

satisfaction [. . .] by any vile or futile reason;

(f) Being determined by hatred, either racial, religious, political, or generated by colour, ethnic or national

origin, [. . .] of the victim;

(g) Having the intent to prepare, facilitate, run or mask another crime, facilitating the escape or ensuring

the impunity of the agent of a crime;

(h) Practising the fact along with at least two more people or using a particularly dangerous means or one

that results in the practice of a common danger criminal offense;

(i) Using poison or any other insidious means;

(j) Acting with coldness of heart, having reflected upon the employed means or having persisted on the

intention to kill for more than twenty-four hours;

(l) Practising the fact against [. . .]

[. . .]

[. . .] public officials, [. . .]

[. . .] teacher, examiner or member of the school community, [. . .]

[. . .] during the exercise of their

duties or because of them;

(m) Being an official and practising the fact with serious abuse of authority.

[. . .].”

It is absurd that the dishonesty and desperation of Injustice Paulo José Fernandes are such that on fls. 834 he professed:

“[. . .]

atypical aggravated murder is hereby admissible.

[. . .].”

I do not fulfill a criterion for coercion (cf. fls. 842 and 843) therefore coercion by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes (cf. fls. 843) is illegal (cf. fls. 842 and 843 and Articles 193 and 204 of your CPP).

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes violated Artigo 95.º of the Estatuto dos Magistrados Judiciais Lei n.º 21/85, de 30 de Julho:

“Artigo 95.º

Penas de aposentação compulsiva a de demissão

1 — As penas de aposentação compulsiva e de demissão são aplicáveis quando o magistrado:

a) Revele definitiva incapacidade de adaptação às exigências da função;

b) Revele falta de honestidade ou tenha conduta imoral ou desonrosa;

c) Revele inaptidão profissional;

d) Tenha sido condenado por crime praticado com flagrante e grave abuso da função ou com manifesta e grave violação dos deveres a ela inerentes.

2 — Ao abandono de lugar corresponde sempre a pena de demissão.”

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes is an accomplice of indiscipline by Injustice Rosa Pinto. Cf. indiscipline by Injustice Rosa Pinto.

Testimonies include and are not limited to:

António Adelino Ramos Neto,  
Oficial Instrutor,  
Subcomissário,  
Núcleo de Deontologia e Disciplina,  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n<sup>o</sup> 155,  
3034-001 Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239 797 640  
Fax: 239 767 641  
Emails: [aaneto@PSP.Pt](mailto:aaneto@PSP.Pt) and [cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt](mailto:cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt)

Professor Paulo Alexandre Vieira Crespo,  
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Breandán Delap,  
TG4,  
Baile na hAbhann,

Co. na Gaillimhe,  
H91 X4TO,  
República da Irlanda.

Eóin Dubsky,  
Helmersstraat 95,  
2513RW The Hague,  
Países Baixos.

João Paulo Cardoso Monteiro,  
Rua Monte do Alvito, nº 36,  
4760-712 Ribeirão.

Teresa Alexandra Nunes,  
Segurança Social,  
Rua dos Combatente da Grande Guerra nº 2,  
Condeixa-a-Nova.  
Teresa.A.Nunes@Seg-Social.Pt

Marie Pillon,  
CCBE Conseil des barreaux européens,  
Rue Joseph II, 40/8,  
1000 BRUXELLES,  
Bélgica.  
pillon@CCBE.EU  
Tel.: +32 (0)2 234 65 10  
Fax.: +32 (0)2 234 65 11

Nuno Gonçalo Gomes Fernandes Madeira,  
Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,



Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.  
Telephone: +351 239400650

Graça Santos,  
Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.  
Telephone: +351 239400650

António Reis Marques,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.  
Telephone: +351 239400650

Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.

Presidente do Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de  
Coimbra,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.

Director do Serviço Gestão de Doentes,  
Serviço Gestão de Doentes,  
Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,

3000-075 Coimbra.

Telephone: +351 239400679

Quack who wrote illegibly (signature on the right of 2nd April 2013),

Apartado 1,

3031-801 Ceira.

Telephone: +351 239769400

Quack Maria José some-illegible-surname (maybe Reis or Pais) some-other-illegible-surname,

(???: maybe:) Apartado 1,

(???: maybe:) 3031-801 Ceira.

Diretor do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E. - Hospital Sobral  
Cid,

Apartado 1,

3031-801 Ceira.

Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,

Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,

3000-075 Coimbra.

Each lawyer of the times of the Conselhos Deontologia da Ordem dos Advogados that I complained to, including but not limited to: Rui Magalhães; Maria José Vicente; a lawyer who signed illegibly; Maria Guadalupe Gabriel; Costa Amorim; Joana Couto; Fernanda Conceição; Luís Gaspar; and Lúgia Carneiro.

Maria Guadalupe Gabriel,

Rua do Serrado, Lt 9, Rc Dto,

3500-202 Viseu.

guadalupe.gabriel-4610c@adv.OA.Pt

Telephone: 232426015

Fax: 232488558

Costa Amorim,

Edif S Nicolau, 2 - 3º, SI 308 e 309,

4520-248 Santa Maria da Feira.

camorim-1828p@adv.OA.Pt

Telephone: 256373233,

Fax: 256378444

Lígia Carneiro,

Rua João Machado nº100,

Edifício Coimbra,

6º Andar, Sala 605,

3000-226 Coimbra.

Telephones: 239 826171 and 91 82 00 507 and 91 72 59 998

Fax: 239 832687

geral@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt, ligiacarneiro@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt

Carlos Felício da Costa,

Avenida Fernão de Magalhães, N.º 495, 4º A/F,

3000-177 Coimbra.

CFC.geral@GMail.com

carlos.felicio.da.costa-3564c@advogados.OA.Pt

Telephone: 239 837 181

Fax: 239 439 979

Rui Magalhães,

Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados.

deontologia@[. . .]

Maria José Vicente,  
R D João III, nº 5 - Estádio Cidade de Coimbra,  
3030-320 Coimbra.  
mariaj.vicente-2941c@adv.OA.Pt  
Telephones: 239840411 and 917607095  
Fax: 239840412

Joana Couto

Fernanda Conceição,  
Av Dr Manuel Gaspar de Lemos, 1 - 2º Esq. F,  
3080-184 Figueira da Foz.  
fernandaconceicao-3699C@adv.OA.Pt  
Telephones: 233420254 and 964668021  
Fax: 233420254

Luís Gaspar

Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira  
[. . .]@IOL.Pt

Elsa Vieira de Andrade Rodrigues,  
ER advogados,  
R. da Sofia, n.º 139-2.º Esq. Sala 1,  
3000-389 Coimbra.  
Telephones: 239 825 160 and 967 904 888  
Fax: 239 825 161

An English-Portuguese interpreter named “Cláudia” who informed Elsa Vieira de Andrade Rodrigues that Paulo José Fernandes imputed being English to me.

Arménia Coimbra,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, nº 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Raquel Pinto da Silva,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, nº 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Sérgio Castanheira,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, nº 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Afonso Pedrosa,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, nº 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Helena Vera-Cruz Pinto,  
Provedora-Adjunta de Justiça,  
Rua do Pau de Bandeira, 9,  
1249-088 Lisboa.  
provedor.adjunto@Provedor-Jus.Pt  
Telephone: 213 926 600  
Fax: 213 921 243

Ana Carina Nascimento,  
Procuradora-Adjunta,  
Departamento de Investigação e Ação Penal de Coimbra,  
1ª Secção,  
Rua da Sofia, Nº 175,  
3004-502 Coimbra.  
coimbra.diap@Tribunais.org.Pt

Telephone: 239852260

Fax: 239096559

Each judge and functionary of Process Number 230/14.8BECBR,  
Tribunal Administrativo de Círculo de Lisboa.

Rosa Pinto,

Secção Central de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra - Juiz 1,

Secção Única,

Rua João Machado, N° 100 - 8°,

3000-226 Coimbra.

[coimbra.tic@Tribunais.org.Pt](mailto:coimbra.tic@Tribunais.org.Pt)

Telephone: 239096560

Fax: 239096579

Teresga Cardoso,

Comarca de Coimbra,

Instância Central - Secção de Instrução Criminal,

Rua João Machado, N° 100 - 8°,

3000-226 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239096560

Fax: 239096579

Miguel Pinto,

Tribunal de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra,

Secção Única,

Rua João Machado, N° 100 - 8°,

3000-226 Coimbra.

[coimbra.tic@Tribunais.org.Pt](mailto:coimbra.tic@Tribunais.org.Pt)

Telephone: 239096560

Fax: 239096579

Each (then) functionary of the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra during or after my first process of it.

Sara André dos Reis Marques,  
3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra,  
Ralácio da Justiça,  
3004-502 Coimbra.  
coimbra.varm@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239096591  
Fax: 239096659

Each functionary of Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR including Daniel G.; Ana Maria Fonseca; Maria João C.G.Figueiredo; and Adélia Maria Snatos Carvalho.

Vera Cristina da Silva Gomes,  
Procuradora Adjunta.

Joaquim Manuel Dias,  
Polícia de Segurança Pública,  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Avenida Elísio de Moura, n.º155,  
Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239797640

José Manuel Marques Nascimento,  
Polícia de Segurança Pública,  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Avenida Elísio de Moura, n.º155,  
Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239797640

Comandante da PSP de Coimbra,  
Polícia de Segurança Pública,  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Avenida Elísio de Moura, n.º155,  
Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239797640

Each person of the Instituto de Medicina Legal e Ciências Forenses who was involved with me including and not restricted to:

Susana Tavares,  
Assistente de Medicina Legal com Grau de Consultor,  
Coimbra - Delegação do Instituto de Medicina Legal e Ciências Forenses,  
Largo da Sé Nova,  
3000-213 Coimbra.  
cml@DCINML.MJ.Pt  
Telephone: 239 854 230  
Fax: 239 835 233

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo,  
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Margarida Queiroz,  
R João Machado, 100 - 8º, Sl 804 - Edf Coimbra,  
3000-226 Coimbra.

Duarte Figueiredo,  
R João Machado, 100 - 8º, Sl 803/804 - Edf Coimbra,



3000-226 Coimbra.

Ana Lopes Chaves

Av Fernão de Magalhães, 446 - 2º,

3000-173 Coimbra.

André Filipe Ventura Cortez,

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,

Departamento de Física,

Universidade de Coimbra,

3004-516 Coimbra.

Sharif Hasan Mahmoud Ghithan,

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,

Departamento de Física,

Universidade de Coimbra,

3004-516 Coimbra.

Alexandre Manuel da Fonseca Trindade,

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,

Departamento de Física,

Universidade de Coimbra,

3004-516 Coimbra.

Manuela Pereira,

Polícia Judiciária,

Rua Venâncio Rodrigues, 16,

3000-409 Coimbra.

[direccao.coimbra@PJ.Pt](mailto:direccao.coimbra@PJ.Pt)

Telephone: 239863000

Fax: 239833627

Maria Constanca Mendes Pinheiro da Providência Santarém e Costa,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Paulo Ricardo Novais Vilas Boas,  
Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, I.P.,  
Avenida D. Carlos I, 126, 1º,  
1249-074 Lisboa.

Lurdes Nunes,  
Escrivão Adjunto,  
Tribunal Judicial da Comarca de Coimbra,  
Juízo Central Criminal de Coimbra - Juiz 2,  
Palácio da Justiça - Rua da Sofia,  
3000-389 Coimbra.

coimbra.centralcriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239096585  
Fax: 239096659

Juiz 2,  
Tribunal Judicial da Comarca de Coimbra,  
Juízo Central Criminal de Coimbra - Juiz 2,  
Palácio da Justiça - Rua da Sofia,  
3000-389 Coimbra.

coimbra.centralcriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239096585  
Fax: 239096659

João Paulo Pereira Martelo (“Matrícula n.º 141292”),

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n.º 155,  
3034-001 Coimbra.

[jpmartelo@PSP.Pt](mailto:jpmartelo@PSP.Pt)

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

Celestino do Santos Simões Marques,  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n.º 155,  
3034-001 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

[cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt](mailto:cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt)

Jorge Manuel Jarmela Rodrigues (“Matrícula n.º 136739”),  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n.º 155,  
3034-001 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

[cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt](mailto:cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt)

João Paulo Mena Lopes (“Matrícula n.º 142625”),  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n.º 155,  
3034-001 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt

João Lopes,

Polícia Segurança Pública,

Coimbra.

António Ferraz,

Polícia Segurança Pública,

Coimbra.

José António Henriques Fernandes,

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Polícia Segurança Pública,

Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n<sup>o</sup> 155,

3034-001 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt

Filipa Morão Machado,

Palácio dos Grilos – Rua da Ilha,

3004-531 Coimbra.

Maria Madalena Almeida Peres,

Procurador-Adjunto,

Comarca de Coimbra - Ministério Público,

Coimbra - DIAP - 1<sup>a</sup> Secção,

Rua da Sofia, 175-2<sup>o</sup>,

3000-391 Coimbra.

coimbra.diap@Tribunais.org.Pt

Telephone: 239852260

Fax: 239096559

Hugo Costa,

Escrivão Auxiliar,

Comarca de Coimbra,

Coimbra - Inst. Central - Sec.Ins.Criminal - J1,

Rua João Machado, 100 - 8º,

3000-226 Coimbra.

coimbra.instrucaocriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt

Telephone: 239096560

Fax: 239096579

Public Prosecutrix Madalena Peres.

Public Prosecutrix Olga Coimbra.

Maria Benedita Figueiredo Bettencourt Dias,

Rua Adolfo Coelho, Vila Russo, nº8, 2º andar,

3000-005 Coimbra.

[. . .]@HotMail.com

Telephones: 239 091367 and 96 8312131

João Ramos,

Comarca de Coimbra - Ministério Público,

Coimbra - DIAP - 1ª Secção,

Rua da Sofia, 175-2º,

3000-391 Coimbra.

coimbra.diap@Tribunais.org.Pt

Telephone: 239852260

Fax: 239096559

Teresa Cardoso,  
Escrivão Adjunto,  
Comarca de Coimbra,  
Coimbra - Inst. Central - Sec.Ins.Criminal - J1,  
Rua João Machado, 100 - 8º,  
3000-226 Coimbra.

[coimbra.instrucaocriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt](mailto:coimbra.instrucaocriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt)

Telephone: 239096560

Fax: 239096579

Manuela Nunes Ferreira,  
R Simões de Castro, 132 - 1º Esq - Apartado 36,  
3000-387 Coimbra.

[manuelanunesferreira-5190c@\[. . .\]](mailto:manuelanunesferreira-5190c@[. . .])

Telephones: 239834064 and 939554817

Fax: 239102235

Catarina Pinho,  
R Dr Paulo Quintela, 320 - 6º A,  
3030-393 Coimbra.

Beatriz Cruz

Carlos A. M. de Castro Fernandes

Ferreira Gapo

Tiago Afonso Lopes de Miranda

Isabel Mendes Simões

Teresa Costa Alemão

Joaquim Cruzeiro

José Veloso

Fernanda Brandão

Isabel Soeiro

Presidente do Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra

Yours faithfully,  
Paul Colin Gloster,  
R da Sofia, 139-2º Posterior,  
3000-387 Coimbra,  
Portugal.