

Date: Tue, 9 May 2017 10:12:43 +0000 (UTC)

From: Paul Colin Gloster

To: Conselho Superior da Magistratura <csm@[. . .]>

Cc: deontologia@CDP.OA.Pt, Union Internationale des Magistrats – International Association of Judges <secretariat@IAJ-UIM.org>, MEDEL – Magistrats européens pour la démocratie et les libertés <office@MEDELnet.EU>, "Gulio Adilardi, Judge in charge of the International Affairs Commission, Italian CSM" <g.adilardi@CosMag.It>, "Gulio Adilardi, Judge in charge of the International Affairs Commission, Italian CSM" <GAdilardi@GMail.com>, "Olga Mignolo, Head of the Office for the Coordination of International Cooperation, Ministry of Justice of Italy (Ministero della Giustizia)" <olga.mignolo@Giustizia.It>, "Filippo Musca, International Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences – ISISC (Istituto Superiore Internazionale di Scienze Criminali)" <filippo.musca@ISISC.org>, "Valéry Turcey, Magistrate; Head of the European and International Affairs Office, Ministry of Justice of France" <Valery.Turcey@Justice.Gouv.Fr>, "Cvijeta Jekic, Head of Operations / Acting Director General, Justicijska Agencija za međunarodnu suradnju (JICA – JCI)" <cvijeta.jekic@JICA-Justice.Fr>, Associação Sindical dos Magistrados Judiciais Portugueses <correio@ASJP.Pt>, Consultative Council of European Judges <ccje@COE.int>, Daniel Seidler <daniel.seidler@GIZ.De>, Fabian Klemme <fabian.klemme@GIZ.De>, European Network of Councils for the Judiciary <office@ENCJ.EU>, International Commission of Jurists <info@ICJ.org>, Corruption and Economic Crime Branch of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime <uncac.cop@unodc.org>, Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira <[. . .]@IOL.Pt>, Manuela Nunes Ferreira <manuelanunesferreira-5190c@[. . .]>, Joana Couto de Sousa <joanacsousa-4867c@adv.OA.Pt>, Luís Pessoa Gaspar <luispgaspar-131321@adv.OA.Pt>, Luís Pessoa Gaspar <LuisPessoaGaspar@GMail.com>, António Adelino Ramos Neto <aaneto@PSP.Pt>, cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt, Teresa Alexandra Nunes <Teresa.A.Nunes@Seg-Social.Pt>, ccbe@CCBE.EU, Maria Guadalupe Gabriel <guadalupe.gabriel-4610c@adv.OA.Pt>, Costa Amorim <camorim-

1828p@adv.OA.Pt>, geral@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt, Lúgia Carneiro <ligiacarneiro@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt>, Eva González Fernández IURISGAL INTERNATIONAL NETWORK OF LAW FIRMS <evagonzalez@RivasMontero.com>, Carlos Felício da Costa <CFC.geral@GMail.com>, Carlos Felício da Costa <carlos.felicio.da.costa-3564c@advogados.OA.Pt>, Maria José Vicente <mariaj.vicente-2941c@adv.OA.Pt>, Fernanda Conceição <fernandaconceicao-3699C@adv.OA.Pt>, provedor.adjunto@Provedor-Jus.Pt, Instituto de Medicina Legal e Ciências Forenses <cml@DCINML.MJ.Pt>, João Paulo Pereira Martelo <jpmartelo@PSP.Pt>

Subject: Unethical judge in Portugal: Rosa Pinto

Dear Sir/Madam of the Portuguese Superior Council of Judges (High Council):

Portuguese is not the language of my nationality. English is also not the language of my nationality. Irish Gaelic is the language of my nationality. However, the judge Albertina Pedroso (the Chief of the Office of the Vice President of the Portuguese Superior Council of Judges) utilized English on 29th November 2014 to communicate with me about possibly making a disciplinary complaint against a judge.

Therefore I attempt to complain via English.

I record by means of dissatisfaction that I did not receive a response de you to an earlier email by me during 2017 complaining about judges.

An immoral judge is “Secção Central de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra - Juiz 1”, namely Rosa Pinto. I complain about malconduct by her of the Process Number 123/14.9PFGBR. She encourages instead of struck out

malconduct by others, therefore complaining about others (including Injustice Paulo José Fernandes; functionaries of the “Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra”; journalists; the Public Prosecution Disservice of Portugal; corrupt police officers; criminals who purport to be assistentes; and representatives thereof) is incorporated into complaining about Injustice Rosa Pinto.

I am the offended of this process. It is very offensive that Injustice Rosa Pinto dares to call a different person the offended.

I repeatedly (since 5th August 2014) complain throughout Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR about being unfairly forced to utilize English. Ask e.g. Catarina Pinho; Manuela Nunes Ferreira; Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira; Manuela Pereira; Elsa Vieira de Andrade Rodrigues; Ana Lopes Chaves; and Duarte Figueiredo and cf. e.g. fls. 566. Differences between English and the language of my nationality were unfairly utilized against me. For example, the “Judiciary” Police “woman” Sandra Roxo dishonestly imputed inconsistency to my (truthful) explaining of happening on fls. 300. Contrarily I reported truthfully without inconsistency. I was forced to speak without an interpreter. The single word of my nationality láimh seems to correspond to two words in Portuguese on fls. 300: namely pulso and mão. One knows that Portuguese and English consist of untranslatable substitutes of words. Injustice Rosa Pinto connives at connivance by the biased “Judiciary” Police “woman” Sandra Roxo at inconsistencies of allegations against me (many of which contradict irrefutable evidence) but she allows her to profess inconsistency based on pulso (láimh) and mão (also láimh). As you are so fond of English, I remark that judges in England refer to pleading like that by Sandra Roxo as a distinction without a difference. Cf. *Kneafsey & Ors v Independent Television News Ltd & Ors*

[2013] EWHC 4046 at 74. Before the creation of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR, issues of English for me were documented by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology at Process Number 335/14.BECBR of the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra as a consequence of a crime which was perpetrated by the judge Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR.

Injustice Rosa Pinto permits most of the documentation of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR to be exclusively in Portuguese. She did not order for any of it to be translated to the language of my nationality. She did not order for any of it to be translated to a language of my choosing.

The gentleman Dr. Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira is an interpreter of English and Spanish. Therefore as pertains to me, he is not an interpreter. Injustice Rosa Pinto lies about this on fls. 26; and 569 by feigning due process.

Injustice Rosa Pinto perpetrated perjury on fls. 574. For example, she knew that I did not visit Coimbra on 15th May 2016. This was proven to her during this hearing and she accepted that I did not visit Coimbra on that day, but she dishonestly falsely wrote that I did nonetheless. I had been required to provide evidence of mental health, but Injustice Rosa Pinto dishonestly professed without evidence through an Anglophone that I “caused” “panic”. She exploited the fact that she linguistically prejudiced me: she had not informed me that we would not utilize an interpreter and she did not warn me to bring dictionaries. For example, I did not know then what panic means, therefore I was unable to effectively contradict. Orders against me for supposedly causing supposed panic were orders based on a contradiction in terms. Mental illness involves panic, but no

psychiatrist testified as to this lame excuse of Injustice Rosa Pinto. Injustice Rosa Pinto credited the dishonest Rector João Gabriel Silva. Dishonesty of a person is a reason to doubt. She also lied on fls. 575; 580; and 581 without evidence. Fls. 574 is not consistent with fls. 575; 580; and 581.

Dictionaries explain that “panic” signifies an unreasonable state: “sceimhle tobann a fhágann duine gan breith ar a chiall aige”. I had not known what panic means during this hearing. I am not at fault for (unproven purported) unreasonableness of an unidentified non-testimony.

Furthermore I had not been warned that I would need to be informed about panicky illness such as to be aware that mental illness supposedly was relevant to this hearing and therefore to demand medical documentation. Cf.

[HTTP://apps.WHO.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2016/en#/F41.0](http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2016/en#/F41.0)

Injustice Rosa Pinto violates this conclusion of the Eighth Congress of Portuguese Judges:

“2. It is essential that judges permanently reflect on the principles of judicial ethics, consolidated in the central attributes of the judicial activity: independence, impartiality, integrity, humanism, diligence and reserve. Taking into account the singular nature of the body of judges, this reflection should be extended to their collective representation.”

Injustice Rosa Pinto lacks independence. Cf. Chapter 2. INDEPENDENCE of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 ([WWW.ASJP.Pt/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/2-Versão-em-inglês-](http://WWW.ASJP.Pt/wp-content/uploads/2010/04/2-Versão-em-inglês-)

PORTUGUESE-JUDGES'-PLEDGE-OF-ETHICS.pdf

) by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges). The 2nd Republic of Portugal prejudices me because of my nationality since 2008. Injustice Rosa Pinto persists with this maltreatment by the 2nd Republic of Portugal of this migrant.

Injustice Rosa Pinto lacks impartiality. Cf. Chapter 3. IMPARTIALITY of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

Injustice Rosa Pinto lacks integrity. Cf. Chapter 4. INTEGRITY of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

Injustice Rosa Pinto lacks humanism. Cf. Chapter 5. HUMANISM of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

Injustice Rosa Pinto lacks diligence. Cf. Chapter 6. DILIGENCE of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges).

I am obviously innocent but Injustice Rosa Pinto allows a Schauprozess on a trumped up charge that Rosa Pinto publicly clarified during August 2014 that I was not under investigation for because it was obvious that I did not enact this (non-enacted) crime. (Schauprozess is a word of German. I do not know a translation of it to English. Cf. show trial.)

Other persons prejudice me because of unfairness by Injustice Rosa Pinto.

I truthfully answered (or so I believed) about parents and Dr. Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira perfectly reproduced words of these answers, but Injustice Rosa Pinto unapologetically contradicts these answers. Cf. for example fls. 26; and 569 and audio recordings. The Schauprozess Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR relies exclusively on false facts which are alleged by persons who are proven to be liars. Many of these lies are proven to be false facts by audio recordings, but Injustice Rosa Pinto connives at them and adopts them and Injustice Rosa Pinto fabricated additional false facts with absolutely no remorse despite audio recording and documentation refuting false facts by Injustice Rosa Pinto. Injustice Rosa Pinto is unfit for office.

Injustice Rosa Pinto is the judge of the 1st of 3 phases of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. Documentation by mental specialists had proven that I am honest; dedicated to justice; acknowledging of rule enforcement; ethical; moral; and mentally healthy. Injustice Rosa Pinto unfairly chose to not strike out and to not declare to be perjury unfounded contrary assertions by the Public Prosecution Disservice of Portugal (cf. for examples fls. 28 and 109); defamatory perjury by lawyers (cf. for examples fls. 117; and 125); false testimonies by the quack Nuno Gonçalo Gomes Fernandes Madeira of

putative “Hospitals” of the putative “University” of Coimbra and by the “Judiciary” Police “woman” Sandra Roxo who dishonestly falsely impute mental illness to me. Retention of perjury about mental illness caused a judge of the trial phase (the 3rd of 3 phases) of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR to demand a new psychiatric evaluation. (Nota bene perjury on for example fls. 28 by the Public Prosecution Disservice of Portugal is not exclusively about a not really aggressive personality.)

During the trial phase (the 3rd of 3 phases) of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR we are unfairly burdened by wasting resources by proving my mental health again (we already proved my mental health during 2013 and during 2014 (cf. Process Number 335/14.BECBR of the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra)). This distraction prejudices other tasks of ours. This caused me irrelevant expenditure (counsel is not gratis) and humiliation. Contrast with Ac. RL as of the 4th November 1981, Col. Jurisp., T. V, p. 184 and ss.

Injustice Rosa Pinto allows a charge against me about a purported crime on 20th January 2015. It does not even belong as part of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR which is about a crime which was perpetrated against me during 2013 by Injustice Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra (but I am framed as the arguido!), but Injustice Rosa Pinto permits it to be coalesced instead of being part of a separate process, thereby excessively restricting resources to defend me thereby prejudicing me. This caused me irrelevant expenditure (counsel is not gratis) and humiliation. Contrast with Ac. RL as of the 4th November 1981, Col. Jurisp., T. V, p. 184 and ss.

What I was originally under investigation for (cf. fls. 30) became



time barred many months before Injustice Paulo José Fernandes became involved. I am also innocent of the topic of the original investigation. Cf. inter alia just cause protected by your law of criminal defamation and also ameaça lícita and legítima defesa, contrarily to for example fls. 30 and 593. I was not responsible for the defamation that provoked me. Cf. Article 283(2) of your Code of Penal Proceedings. I should not be trialed. I should not had even been indicted. Cf. Article 286 Paragraph 1 of your Code of Penal Proceedings. This “investigation” took an inexcusably inordinate amount of time so as to attempt to fabricate lame excuses for this Schauprozess. This caused me irrelevant expenditure (counsel is not gratis) and humiliation. Contrast with Ac. RL as of the 4th November 1981, Col. Jurisp., T. V, p. 184 and ss.

It is beyond doubt (cf. Article 283(2) of your Code of Penal Proceedings) that no witness prevented me de killing and that if I had attempted to kill Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo of Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP then she would not have continued to live on 5th August 2014.

The first two phases of this process were biased against me because they were based on perjury and false facts. These judges knew this. The German word Schauprozess corresponds to the first two phases of this process. Deciding to continue this slow process causes violations of Article 6 – Right to a fair trial; Article 13 – Right to an effective remedy; Article 14 – Prohibition of discrimination; Article 5 – Right to liberty and security; Article 1 – Obligation to respect human rights; Article 2 – Right to life; Article 4 – Prohibition of slavery and forced labour; Article 8 – Right to respect for private and family life; Article 9 – Freedom of thought,

conscience and religion; Article 10 – Freedom of expression; Article 11 – Freedom of assembly and association; Article 17 – Prohibition of abuse of rights; and Article 18 – Limitation on use of restrictions on rights of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

There is a very big distinction between morality and decisions of judges in Coimbra. António Adelino Ramos Neto distinguished between immoral conduct and illegal conduct on 8th February 2017 when I was testifying against immoral behavior (cf. NUP 2017CBR0003AVE) by career criminals who are employed as agents of the Public-Security Police in Coimbra who violated an order by the judge Rosa Pinto of Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra and the PSP lied about a court order. (Unlike good agents of the PSP in Coimbra and elsewhere.) The European Court of Human Rights had ruled on many occasions against countries for legally (not illegally) violating fundamental human rights. Even the judge Paulo José Fernandes of the same court as Rosa Pinto distinguished between illegality and immorality on Page 821:

“[. . .]

[. . .] the law does not require proof in the sense of moral certainty [. . .]

[. . .]

[. . .]”

Thus this self-contradictory decision of Injustice Paulo José Fernandes (like many other judges in Coimbra) caused by Injustice Rosa Pinto proved that Injustice Rosa Pinto and Paulo José Fernandes are malicious; perverse; conniving; immoral; and guilty of indiscipline.

Contrarily to false facts on fls. 821 and fls. 836 and maybe fls. 570

and maybe fls. 575, I did not come to Polo 1 “at about 1:30 PM”. I said “Don't worry” to Filipa Morão Machado at 1:15p.m. and I departed soon after then (much earlier than 1:30p.m.) because she was being even more impolite than earlier during this conversation. Sílvia (de Fátima Sousa Soares) Figueiredo did not promptly take me to Filipa Morão Machado. Sílvia (de Fátima Sousa Soares) Figueiredo; Filipa Morão Machado; and I were never together. I was waiting at Polo 1 for much time. E.g. my ticket number of this day was called at 12:19 and my meeting of this day with Filipa Morão Machado was commenced circa half an hour later. Filipa Morão Machado unjustifiably demanded €5860.39 potentially plus an undisclosed amount before 1:10p.m. instead of merely “5560.00€ plus interest”. All of these demanded monies were supposed to be covered by scholarships, and therefore not owed by me. Filipa Morão Machado had not “provided him with the information he sought”. E.g. inter alia she prevaricated about if this sum of €5860.39 would be paid immediately, would the putative University of Coimbra perpetrate another scam by demanding me to pay even more. When the co-supervisor “Dr.” Rui Miguel Curado da Silva invited me to become a doctoral student of a Portuguese “university”, he professed that I would not need to pay the University of Coimbra. After I moved to Portugal the “University” of Coimbra demanded me to pay.

Curiously Injustice Rosa Pinto conceals the true fact that I spoke to Filipa Morão Machado while I held the ax and I said e.g. “Don't worry” to her while indicating to her whom (at the Department of False Physics of the False University of Coimbra (FUC)) it would be utilized on if necessary.

Contrarily to false facts on fls. 822 and fls. 836 and maybe fls. 576 and maybe fls. 589, I did not “Later on, at about 3:00 PM, the accused

came to the Department of Physics”. As I testified to Manuela Pereira and Sandra Roxo, I arrived immediately at the Department of False Physics of the False University of Coimbra. Therefore I was already located there at (and before) 1:30p.m. but Injustice Paulo José Fernandes dishonestly professed on fls. 821 that “at about 1:30 PM” I “came to” a different building on a different road.

I never went to Room G contrarily to inter alia fls. 836 and maybe fls. 576 and maybe fls. 589.

False facts on fls. 822 (cf. fls. 836 and fls. 837 and maybe fls. 570 and maybe fls. 571 and maybe fls. 576 and maybe fls. 589) include inter alia this absence of confession of defamatory provocation:  
“[. . .]

- After the door had been opened to him by the offended, the accused stood at the entrance as he was talking with her, yet at some point he raised the tone of his voice,  
reason why the offended asked him to withdraw. As the accused did not withdraw and  
increased even more his voice tone, in a threatening attitude, the offended attempted to  
close the door of the room, which she was prevented of doing by the defendant who had  
put his foot on the base of the door.

- Then the defendant pushed the door, pushing also the offended, and entered the room.

- It was then that the defendant withdrew the hatchet he had concealed on his waist and, with its blade, began striking blows aimed at the chest of the offended,

who

in order to avoid being hit on the chest placed her arms and hands in front of her chest

area; various blows having been struck against her chest, [ . . . ]  
[ . . . ]”

Contrast with the truth de 4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds  
after the beginning of

[HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!  
\\_Go\\_back\\_to\\_Ireland!\\_\\_filename\\_Recording\\_20140804\\_101602.3gpp](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!__filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp)

-

Paul Colin Gloster: Maria Filomena

[ . . . ]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo [at 23 seconds (+  
4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds)]: Close the door [ . . . ]

[ . . . ]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: This is not your  
country. Go to your country. [ . . . ]

[ . . . ]

Paul Colin Gloster: I was not paid the full amount of the [ . . . ] of  
which you were an element of the jury which selected me.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: That’s your  
problem. That’s your problem. That’s your problem. I don’t care about  
it. I want to work and I must.

[ . . . ]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: you’ve been  
institutionalized because you were ill so [ . . . ]

Paul Colin Gloster: I was not ill. Doctors proved

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: that I was healthy.

[ . . . ]

Paul Colin Gloster: Rui

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: Ferreira

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: Marques

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Go. Go.

Paul Colin Gloster: and Rui Miguel

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: Curado da Silva;

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Goodbye.

Paul Colin Gloster: Paulo Jorge Ribeiro da Fonte; and LIP

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay.

Paul Colin Gloster: lied about me.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Okay. Bye bye.

Paul Colin Gloster: How many, how much of the social security for my first contract was paid?

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: Go.

Paul Colin Gloster: [. . .] social security [. . .] not paid [. . .]

Cf. complaining by me against the lawyer Bárbara Ranito to the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados; and Process Number 220/14.0T9CBR at Comarca de Coimbra - Ministério Público, Coimbra - DIAP - 2ª Secção, Rua da Sofia, 175-2º, 3000-391 Coimbra.

Sharif Hasan Mahmoud Ghithan perpetrated perjury on 15th December 2014 (cf. inter alia fls. 245 and 246) and on 23rd June 2015 and this prosecution is based on pretending this false testimony to supposedly be true testimony.

Contrarily to fls. 823 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes, Sharif (Hasan Mahmoud) Ghithan did not “immobilise” me.

Medical reports (cf. e.g. fls. 824 and 838) do not even remotely

indicate a danger to life. It is not reasonable to believe that I am guilty of what I am charged with.

Injustice Rosa Pinto sabotages my livelihood. Cf. inter alia fls. 31; 140; 141; 581; and 594. My work would actually be beneficial to humanity if Injustice Rosa Pinto would not perversely prejudice humanity.

I was temporarily employed as a freelance prisoner de 4th August 2014 to 5th August 2014. The Job Interviewer Rosa Pinto (like other job interviewers in Portugal) lied to me when she interviewed me on 5th August 2014 for a (supposedly) secure position as a prisoner. She dishonestly boasted that she was offering 4 years of employment including a home. Cf. fls. 30. She did not hire me and she disrupts applications for other jobs thereby forcing me to move out of an ex-home which I do not continue to have sufficient money to pay for and making survival for me very complicated.

Poverty caused by Injustice Rosa Pinto forced me to move to a new home near Condeixa. A consequence of this indisciplinary sabotage of my ex-ability to pay for a home; clothing; a washing machine; and detergent is intimidation by racist Guards of the National Republic of Portugal in Condeixa. Injustice Rosa Pinto unacceptably delays receptions of correspondence (cf. for example fls. 112; and 139 (including perjury by Rosa Pinto)) thereby making it impractical for me to solely rely on a professional address (as utilized at inter alia Process Number 335/14.BECBR) for correspondence. Therefore, as Injustice Rosa Pinto is very aware of, she forces me to utilize an additional address for correspondence for service: cf. inter alia Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR at fls. 148; 165; 384; 400; 460; and 549. The Public-Security Police successfully served at this address

for a process (Process Number 22/15.7GGCBR) about defamation on me by an employee of an assistente of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

Instead, Injustice Rosa Pinto decided to not have service done upon me at an appropriate address for a hearing of 7th July 2016. Cf. Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR at fls. 554 about an inappropriate address. Injustice Rosa Pinto had already known that that address could not be used for service upon me: cf. fls. 383; 517; and documentation of your Service of Foreigners and Frontiers proving that I had ceased to reside there. The proprietor of this house and your Service of Foreigners and Frontiers can confirm this allegation against Injustice Rosa Pinto.

Injustice Rosa Pinto also decided to not have service done upon me on an appropriate date. Cf. fls. 567 - I have been (incompletely) notified merely two nights before this hearing. If she would had journeyed and posted this document de another country without airmail then it would have been served upon me less late than her enacted method of incomplete notification.

Injustice Rosa Pinto has also decided to scare me by having incomplete notification done by career criminals of the Guards of the National Republic in Condeixa with guns (cf. fls. 561) while there had been already commenced an ongoing process between me and Guards of the National Republic in Condeixa for intimidation by Guards of the National Republic in Condeixa. The lawyers Carla Espírito Santo and Lúcia Soares had been nominated to represent me over this (Process Numbers 102530/2016 and 201690421). While threatening me on behalf of Injustice Rosa Pinto, Guards of the National Republic in Condeixa refused to inform me of that process I was then being summoned for. Contrast this with perjury by Guards of the National Republic in



Condeixa at fls. 564 by Marco Paulo Moreira Monteiro and at fls. 566 by António Miguel Teixeira dos Santos. Guards of the National Republic in Condeixa refused to inform me of this Process Number of this hearing of 7th July 2016 therefore the Lawyer Carla Espírito Santo and I inferred de this further misconduct by Guards of the National Republic in Condeixa that this hearing was part of a process on which the Lawyer Carla Espírito Santo represents me against misconduct by Guards of the National Republic in Condeixa. Cf. fls. 567 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR at which the only lawyer to whom I referred is Carla Espírito Santo. I very clearly demanded an interpreter at fls. 567, and as always, Rosa Pinto insisted on prejudicing me by refusing to provide an interpreter.

I demand that Injustice Rosa Pinto compensate me for obviously wasting money by obeying a racist law of yours when notifying your Service of Foreigners and Frontiers.

I quote de fls. 825 and 840 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes (cf. maybe fls. 573 and maybe fls. 578 by Injustice Rosa Pinto and maybe fls. 591):

“[. . .]

- The defendant was then asked if he had a sound recording device in his possession, which he admitted as a possibility.”

I really unequivocally said during this interrogation to Manuela Pereira and the “Inspector” police “woman” Sandra Roxo that I definitely (not merely possibly) had a recording device. As testimonies to this fact I indicate the policewoman Manuela Pereira and the lawyers Ana Lopes Chaves and Duarte Figueiredo. Furthermore I insist that you obtain as evidence a copy of an audio recording

proving what I said then. (Nota bene Injustice Rosa Pinto and Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied about the file name of a recording on fls. 573; 575; 579; 592; 826; and 840. It was really called

Recording\_20150120\_133836.3gpp  
- cf. fls. 447.)

Furthermore, such a recording proves that I spoke in the language of my nationality (the policewoman Manuela Pereira confessed that she does not comprehend this language) and that an interpreter was not provided. An interpreter was never provided during a process of mine.

As reported by Injustice Rosa Pinto on fls. 573; 578; and 592, a tablet of mine was set “em língua estrangeira” (cf. fls. 826 and 840: “foreign language”; and fls. 275 and 288: “em língua [. . .] desconhece”). Each judge of this process prejudices me by forcing me to utilize a different language which is not of my nationality and which I do not choose to utilize and which had been confirmed (for an earlier (administrative) process: Process Number 335/14.BECBR) by evaluations by persons with degrees in psychiatry and psychology that I have issues with including that it (English) had been misused against me by quacks in Portugal.

Dr. David B. Resnik is an editor of a scientific magazine. This scientific magazine published a whistleblowing scientific article by me about a fraudulent article which is coauthored by the perverse parasite Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP. Dr. David B. Resnik criticized my English e.g. when he requested: “Please get some more help with your English.”

Contrarily to a false fact by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on

fls. 826 and maybe by Injustice Rosa Pinto on fls. 575 and maybe fls. 592, the (putative) “inspector” Sandra Roxo was aware that I was recording. Cf. fls. 129; 137; and 139 of August 2014 which refer to an earlier recording. The corrupt police officer Sandra Roxo is scared of responsibility. The lawyer Duarte Figueiredo informed me on a later day that on the day that Sandra Roxo robbed a tablet off me, she (falsely) imputed mental illness to me. I had not been informed during this interrogation of this new accusation of mental illness as an interpreter was not utilized and the lawyer Duarte Figueiredo was scared by the behavior of Sandra Roxo.

Article 6 – Right to a fair trial of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms does not permit the legal system in Coimbra to publicly lie about me and to prevent me from publicly exposing its dishonesty for my own safety.

Dishonesty by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on fls. 826 and 840 includes inter alia:

“[. . .]

-In fact, the defendant did not inform he was recording the interrogation, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful.”

This is trivially disproved by law; many precedents of it being lawful to record against the wills of participants of discussions; and the unchallenged submission (not by me) of a different recording during August 2014 as evidence for this same process. Each person is equivalent before the law of Portugal, but in Portugal a person of nationality of the 2nd Republic of Portugal is more equivalent than a person lacking this nationality. The Public Prosecution Service had

decided to not persist with this charge before fls. 826 has been created.

If this baloney to maintain a charge to trial would be a valid principle of law, then I would be able to reuse this argument against me by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes against Injustice Rosa Pinto and against many other hypocritical criminals who dishonestly profess to care about law as follow . . .

In fact, Injustice Rosa Pinto did not inform that she was depriving me of an interpreter, nor did she request authorisation to do so, and therefore she was aware that such conduct was unlawful.

In fact, the judge Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra during Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR did not inform that quacks lied about my nationality, nor did she request authorisation to do so, and therefore she was aware that such conduct was unlawful.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police João Paulo Pereira Martelo (“Matrícula n.º 141292”) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 2 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police Celestino do Santos Simões Marques did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 2; 3; and 16 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, agents (including Jorge Manuel Jarmela Rodrigues (“Matrícula n.º 136739”)) of the Public-Security Police did not inform that they lied about my nationality, nor did they request authorisation to do so, and therefore they were aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 5; and 18 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police Jorge Manuel Jarmela Rodrigues (“Matrícula n.º 136739”) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 5; and 8 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR and fls. 15 of Inquiry 1347/14.4PCCBR.

In fact, the Service of Foreigners and Frontiers did not inform that it lied about my nationality, nor did it request authorisation to do so, and therefore it was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 12 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police João Paulo Mena Lopes (“Matrícula n.º 142625”) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 16 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR and fls. 2 and 13 of NPP: 338856/2014 and NUIPC: 001347/14.4 PCCBR.

In fact, the agent of the Public-Security Police José António Henriques Fernandes did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 17; and 106 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, the public prosecutrix Maria Madalena Almeida Peres did not inform that she lied about my nationality, nor did she request authorisation to do so, and therefore she was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 20 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, lawyers of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo (A. Novais Teixeira and Fernando José Bandeira) did not inform that they lied about my nationality, nor did they request authorisation to do so, and therefore they were aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 37; 49; and 115 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, a lawyer etc. of the putative University of Coimbra (ACJC Advogados and Afonso Pedrosa) did not inform that they lied about my nationality, nor did they request authorisation to do so, and therefore they were aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 55; and 65 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, João Ramos of the Department of Investigation and Penal Action (DIAP - Deliberate Ignorance Avoiding Prosecution) did not inform that he lied about my nationality, nor did he request authorisation to do so, and therefore he was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 159 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

In fact, Teresa Cardoso did not inform that she lied about residence, nor did she request authorisation to do so, and therefore she was aware that such conduct was unlawful. Cf. fls. 554 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

The judge Rosa Pinto of the “Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra”; the public prosecutrices Madalena Peres (possibly a pseudonym of the

public prosecutrix Maria Madalena Almeida Peres) and Olga Coimbra; and the Oficial de Justiça Miguel Pinto perpetrated perjury. Cf. e.g. fls. 26 and 569 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR.

I never said things which were dishonestly imputed to me when being successfully utilized to petition judges to prejudice me via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR and Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. Cf. fls. 40; 42; 44; 48; 59; 62; 109; 117; 125; 139; 214; 245; 250; and 257 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. Contrast these false facts with what were really said on

[HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!\\_Go\\_back\\_to\\_Ireland!\\_\\_filename\\_Recording\\_20140804\\_101602.3gpp](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!__filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp)

I had been illegally assaulted by a court order of Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR during 2013 because of documentation which I had given to the Judiciary Police during 2012. I still have not been summoned to testify about what I complained to the Judiciary Police about during 2012. The legal system in Coimbra lies about me and prejudices me. I was completely justified to attempt to protect myself via recording. The police “woman” Sandra Roxo perpetrated perjury (cf. inter alia fls. 283 where she imputed stating to me differing significantly de what I said during this interrogation: contrast with an audio partial recording that was robbed off me by the Judiciary Police during this interrogation (or indeed contrast with administrative recording since before the creation of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR)).

Contrarily to a false fact by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on fls. 826, I have authorisation by Article 6 – Right to a fair trial; and Article 5 – Right to liberty and security of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms to record.

Fellow scientists approved of recording by me. Scientists typed on the Internet:

“[. . .]

6[regarded as good] 0 [regarded as bad]

Rate This

I think you made very pertinent questions and remarks which I have been pondering myself over this case. Yet maybe a bit too many to expect answers. I WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND, AGAIN, SCANNING THE RECORDINGS[emphasis by me] available online at Collin's website of the events of the day, where you will find answers to some questions, such as THE LANGUAGE ISSUE[emphasis by me], dates of events, etc. It is not everyday that we find such TRANSPARENCY IN A COMPLICATED SITUATION LIKE THIS, AND I THINK THERE IS MUCH TO BE LEARNT[emphasis by me]. Hope Collin will answer you. Peace to all.

CR

[. . .]

4[regarded as good] 0 [regarded as bad]

Rate This

Guys YOU MUST LISTEN TO THE RECORDINGS[emphasis by me] of what happened on that day to understand more about what was going on. It is online, just google for this person's name. [. . .] it seems moral harassment was going on[. . .]



CR

[. . .]”

I quote de fls. 827:

“toward the arms and wrists, where important veins and arteries; him being aware that it

could cause death,”: survival after a limb is cut is very probable. I acted especially carefully to avoid death. Injustice Rosa Pinto insultingly connives. Digs at her neck or a knife into a vital organ would have easily killed her. I deliberately avoided such dangerous strategies. Instead I deliberately aimed at a non-lethal body part with a non-lethal tool (which was more difficult to obtain than a lethal weapon).

Medical reports confirm that she was cut exclusively at non-lethal body parts. She and witnesses on behalfs of hers strain to make it seem that this was attempted murder. They irreconcilably contradict themselves as to what vital body part I purportedly aimed at. Each witness who imputed attempted murder to me had given trivially provable false testifying. Injustice Rosa Pinto was obligated to strike out these false facts and these charges. She immorally refused to strike them out.

I quote de fls. 827 and 841 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lying again: “death, which he represented and intended.” I did not intend death. Injustice Rosa Pinto knows that I am a human rights' activist. Cf. a report by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology. Cf. fls. inter alia 87; and 109 of Process Number 123/14.9PFGBR, which had been illegally utilized against me to beat me

up via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR.

I quote de fls. 827 and 841 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lying again:

“- The defendant, at all times, acted freely, wilfully and knowingly, [. . .] [. . .]”

Therefore Injustice Paulo José Fernandes contradicted Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR of Injustice Sara André dos Reis Marques of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra. Rife inconsistencies of criminal courts of Coimbra prove that criminal judges in Coimbra perpetrate crimes: they are criminals. Schauprozesse victimize me. I did not freely and wilfully choose to be assaulted via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR. Partial retaliation on 4th August 2014 against Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was caused by defamatorily provoking me; and was a natural; foreseeable; and legal consequence of my being a victim of crime of Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR. I did not freely and wilfully choose to be a victim of crimes.

I quote de fls. 827 (and cf. fls. 592 and fls. 835) and fls. 841 by the conniver Injustice Paulo José Fernandes:

“-The defendant was aware of the offended capacity as University Professor, because he had earlier contacted her, on several occasions, in regard of his PhD studies.”

Injustice Rosa Pinto conceals the true nature of how I am aware of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and of what we were talking about. She hired me as non-University non-PhD staff. Our first contact with ourselves was via this non-University non-PhD context. We were talking about this non-PhD non-University social

security on 4th August 2014. Inhumane Social Security of Portugal had been satisfied to violate the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948 by not paying me because it had been brainwashed by Orwellian defamatory propaganda about a PhD scholarship instead of truthful reporting. I provided inhumane Social Security of Portugal with a recording proving that I had complained on 4th August 2014 to Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo about withheld social security therefore inhumane Social Security of Portugal has knowingly unwilfully paid me. Contrarily to fls. 835 by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes, when I was poisoned during 2013 because of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo this poisoning was not “of those duties”. Speaking to her about non-University social security was not “in her capacity as University Professor and because of those duties”. She is “brutal”. I am not “brutal”. I gave her ample opportunities to apologize and to partially make amends. She brutally declined each such opportunity. If I would have attempted to kill her, then I would not have needed to talk to her to implore her to confess that I had been wronged by her and I would not have needed to aim a non-lethal weapon at a wrist/arm.

Injustice Rosa Pinto rang the lawyer Ana Lopes Chaves when Injustice Rosa Pinto attempted to incite Lopes Chaves to impermissibly invade the scope of the power of attorney of another process (for which Carlos Felício da Costa had been nominated) which would have necessitated Lopes Chaves acting outside the scope of each attorney letter of ours. (Of course, Ana Lopes Chaves is an ethical lawyer and she did not enact perpetration despite this demand by Injustice Rosa Pinto. Furthermore Ana Lopes Chaves would not have been able to do this, because she obviously could not have divulged demanded information to Injustice Rosa Pinto which Ana Lopes Chaves did not

know because she could not have known what the lawyer Carlos Felício da Costa ordered me to do.)

I nominated Injustice Rosa Pinto as a testimony of Processo n° 227/2014-C/I of the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados against Carlos Felício da Costa, but she did not testify for Processo n° 227/2014-C/I.

Injustice Rosa Pinto commenced a hearing on 5th August 2014 unjustifiably late, therefore she sabotaged tasks that had been assigned to me (cf. for examples Processo n° 227/2014-C/I of the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados re Carlos Felício da Costa and fls. 117 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR) at the Department of Academic Disservices of the putative University of Coimbra. Unlike fls. 31, she had not warned me that a consequence of obedience (cf. fls. 108; 109; 139; 574; and 575) of court orders would be that she would order even more unfairly (cf. for example fls. 112; and 139 (including perjury by Rosa Pinto)).

The 2nd Republic of Portugal did not provide suitable counsel for this process. Consequences of obeying (cf. fls. 108 and 109 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR) the counsel Carlos Felício da Costa include: crime was perpetrated against me by the Public-Security Police of Coimbra on 5th August 2014 (cf. complaining by me at 5:53p.m. on 5th August 2014 against António Ferraz and João Lopes); the judges Paulo José Fernandes and Rosa Pinto made excessively prejudicial court orders against me; and I am charged with a crime I did not enact. Cf. complaining by me to the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados against Carlos Felício da Costa: Processo n° 227/2014-C/I. Therefore other counsel conscientiously volunteered to represent me via a futile attempt to restrict my victimization by this

criminal country. This victimization since the previous decade makes it impossible for me to pay. I never paid counsel even 1 cent for even a modicum of this process. It would not be fair to further burden conscientious counsel with unnecessary questions. I had already been given Portuguese-legal advice that it is legal to record discussions. The totality of the lawyers of the Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados of my complaining against the lawyer Bruno Saramago (Processo nº 191/2014-C/I) can confirm that they and Bruno Saramago and the Conseil des barreaux européens (CCBE) did not object to audio recording being illegal evidence against Bruno Saramago. I had spoken to the Public-Security Police about recording and it did not object to recording.

Recordings had been made by

the co-supervisor Rui Miguel Curado da Silva and the accomplices Professor Rui Ferreira Marques and Professor Paulo Jorge Ribeiro da Fonte during 2012 without authorisation without informing me and they typed lies about me

and Paulo Alexandre Cunha Gomes said a lie about me during 2011 (cf. Process Number 2074/12.2TACBR)

and Professor Joaquim Marques Ferreira dos Santos (a relative to Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo) said a lie about me during 2012

and quacks in Portugal and the Public-Security Police and judges in Coimbra during 2013 wrote lies about me when they concealed aggravated assaults against me on behalves of Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and the European Space Agency

and the perverse judge Rosa Pinto typed lies about me

and the Public-Security Police lied to me

and I was never given an interpreter in Portugal

therefore I recorded after aggravated assaults to protect me: I

“acknowledged” my “rights” (cf. fls. 830) to not be assaulted again

based on purported stating dishonestly imputed to me by the legal system of Portugal.

The lawyer Dr. Duarte Figueiredo told Injustice Rosa Pinto that he is acquainted with me and that I did not attempt to kill. Malice by the judge Rosa Pinto can be inferred by her inconsistency. E.g. the court that employs her pretends that I must rely on counsel but she has ruled against the same counsel. The Tribunal Administrativo de Círculo de Lisboa had during 2014 ruled against the same counsel via Process Number 230/14.8BECBR on a critical point of law instead of a point of fact. I invite Rosa Pinto to convict herself. I invite Rosa Pinto to also convict each judge of Process Number 230/14.8BECBR of the Tribunal Administrativo de Círculo de Lisboa. I invite the Portuguese Superior Council of Judges (High Council) to decide against them. Furthermore legal experts, including but not restricted to the same counsel, informed me that disobedience on 5th August 2014 by the Public-Security Police of a court order by the judge Rosa Pinto (cf. fls. 31) constituted a crime and indiscipline and that there would be proceedings against the Public-Security Police. We complained during August 2014 and more than 2 years afterwards I still have not been summoned to testify for that criminal process. More than 2 years afterwards I still have not been summoned to testify for that disciplinary process. In fact unfair court orders by Rosa Pinto and Paulo José Fernandes and paperwork of the prosecution of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR rely on that crime by the Public-Security Police (cf. inter alia fls. 42; 108; 109; and 139), and they carefully avoid referring to the fact that it is illegal such as to connive at the Fruit-of-poisonous-tree doctrine. I demand Rosa Pinto to inform me of the status of that criminal process against the Public-Security Police.

Injustice Paulo José Fernandes lied on fls. 830:

“[. . .]

[. . .] the accused [. . .]

[. . .]

[. . .] in his own

words, with the intent of making the copy available to the media - pgs. 452.

[. . .]

[. . .]”

This is not a verbatim quotation of what I said.

It “is evident” that I am not “An “average” person” contrarily to fls. 830 (cf. my unusual nationality and my racial and righteous extremism (as documented by inter alia fls. inter alia 87; and 109; a report by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology).

I am “with a strict ethical and social conscience”. Cf. fls. 830; a report by persons with Ph.D.s of psychology; and fls. inter alia 87; and 109. E:g. I did not gain a benefit for myself by donating scarce monies to human rights' charities (cf. fls. 87): I donated monies to help even more unfortunate victims than myself because I am “with a strict ethical and social conscience”. I “acknowledged” my “duties”: cf. fls. 830. The witness Professor Paulo Alexandre Vieira Crespo truthfully testified to Injustice Paulo José Fernandes on 1st February 2017 that I canceled an application for employment when I discovered that this employment involves murder. No documentation of this lawsuit that I was shown documents this fact therefore I infer that Injustice Paulo José Fernandes is guilty of perversion of the course of justice. Injustice Rosa Pinto is also guilty of perversion of the course of justice. Injustice Sara André dos Reis Marques perpetrated

crime against me via Process Number 373/13.5TBCBR because the European Space Agency requested me to enact travel backwards through time. Cf. fls. 91 by the European Space Agency on 2nd March (sic) 2005:

“[. . .]

[. . .]

[. . .] You are kindly requested therefore to send evidence by 21 February [sic] [. . .]

[. . .]

[. . .]

Yours sincerely

[. . . scribble]

P. Donzelli

[. . .]”

Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR and my misadventure of 4th August 2014 are consequences of this crime by Injustice Sara André dos Reis Marques. Injustice Rosa Pinto did not emit an order against Injustice Sara André dos Reis Marques over this. Therefore Injustice Rosa Pinto retroactively via time travel became guilty as an accomplice of illegalities and/or illicit acts by a later judge of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR such as Injustice Paulo José Fernandes.

The Machiavellian Paulo José Fernandes professes on fls. 830:

“An “average” person, with a strict ethical and social conscience, in the face of the circumstances above would feel compelled to ask information of the law enforcement officers who conducted his enquiry [. . .]

[. . .].”

Law-enforcement officers have not been present at this enquiry. The



perverse defamer Sandra Roxo of the Judiciary Police has been present instead. I did ask information of the Judiciary Police and the Judiciary Police refused to answer. Cf. an audio recording. The dishonest judges Beatriz Cruz; Carlos A. M. de Castro Fernandes; Ferreira Gapo; Tiago Afonso Lopes de Miranda; Isabel Mendes Simões; Teresa Costa Alemão; Joaquim Cruzeiro; the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra (cf. a judgment by José Veloso; Fernanda Brandão; and Isabel Soeiro of the Secção de Contencioso Administrativo do Tribunal Central Administrativo Norte of Process Number 333/11.0BECBR who ruled that the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra had wronged me); the Public Prosecutrix Vera Cristina da Silva Gomes; the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, I.P.; Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra; Presidente do Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra; the judge Sara André dos Reis Marques; Daniel G. of the 3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra; the quack Nuno Gonçalo Gomes Fernandes Madeira; Graça Santos; António Reis Marques; the Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra; a quack Maria José some-illegible-surname (maybe Reis or Pais) some-other-illegible-surname; the Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.; Presidente do Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra; the Director do Serviço Gestão de Doentes, Serviço Gestão de Doentes, Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra; Diretor do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E. - Hospital Sobral Cid; journalists; Paulo José Fernandes etc. did not “ask information”. Each person is equivalent before the law of Portugal, but in Portugal a person of nationality of the 2nd Republic of Portugal is more equivalent than a person lacking this nationality. Swine. A lawyer warned me that it would not had been possible to prosecute journalists for “dissemination through the media” of defamation over a false fact because they could plead that the Juízo de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra is the source of this false

fact. This defamation indicates a lack of “secrecy” contrarily to fls. 830.

The judge Rosa Pinto; other judges; the Judiciary Police; and courts had behaved unethically. I was entitled to inform a journalist of this misbehavior. Cf. the 7th Chapter of “PORTUGUESE JUDGES’ PLEDGE OF ETHICS: PRINCIPLES FOR QUALITY AND RESPONSIBILITY”, 2009 by the Associação Sindical dos Juizes Portugueses (Union Association of Portuguese Judges):

“[ . . . ]

4. The need to give greater democratic transparency to the activity of judges leads the judge to understand and accept the increasing importance of communication, as a form of allowing for public knowledge and the legitimate exercise of the right to criticize the activity of the courts and the decisions of judges.

For this reason, in cases which are clearly of public interest, the judge keeps in mind the need to guarantee the right to information, via the provision of necessary and appropriate clarifications, under his direct responsibility or through bodies to which the management and representation of judges have been assigned, under the terms provided for in law.

Particularly in cases where the procedures or decisions should be directly communicated to the parties in the case or to the public under his direct responsibility, the judge ensures that this is done in an appropriate manner, keeping in mind the normal difficulties of the average citizen in understanding legal language and rituals. However, in this case, when providing clarification to the public on his own decision, the judge does not express in public any reasons which are not contained in the respective statement of grounds.

[ . . . ]”.

Contrast with fls. 112.

Lack of recordings of malpractice by quacks and the refusal of putative Hospitals of the putative University of Coimbra to release evidence which would incriminate themselves unfairly deprived me of a would-had-been opportunity to prosecute over aggravated assaults which were ordered by the judge Sara André dos Reis Marques: assaults which counsel of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR said that I could prosecute over.

Malicious hypocrite Paulo José Fernandes is a pervert. Cf. e.g. fls. 830:

“[. . .]

[. . .] It therefore

become irrelevant to determine whether or not the facts are different from those indicted unto the defendant, [. . .]

[. . .].”

Connivance by Rosa Pinto is “a blameworthy conduct” and illegal. Cf. Article 17, Paragraph 2 of your Penal Code and p. 341-342 of “O Problema da Consciência da Ilicitude em Direito Penal”.

At fls. 831 Injustice Paulo José Fernandes relies on perjury by Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo on fls. 215 to have “proven” the trumped up charge of:

“[. . .]

[. . .]

- The repeatedly struck blows were aimed at the chest of the offended - statements of pgs. 215, Maria Filomena de Carvalho [sic] Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo, where vital organs are lodged; [. . .]

[. . .].”

It is trivial to prove that this false testimony by Maria Filomena de

Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo is false testimony. E.g. contrast

this excerpt of perjury by her with a transcript:

“[. . .]

66 Ao início a conversação entre ambos foi estabelecida em tom normal, no entanto

67 a certa altura o Colin começou a elevar o tom de voz e foi evidenciando sinais de

68 exaltação, revelando alguma agressividade, ao ponto da depoente, com o objectivo de

69 acabar com aquela falta de respeito e receando seriamente pela sua integridade física -

70 tentar fechar a porta da sala, [. . .]

[. . .]”

whereas I was polite to her then as normal and she aggressively

shouted at me and she attempted to close this door at fewer than 23

seconds without provocation:

de 4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds after the beginning of

[HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!  
\\_Go\\_back\\_to\\_Ireland!\\_\\_filename\\_Recording\\_20140804\\_101602.3gpp](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!__filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp)

-

Paul Colin Gloster: Maria Filomena

[. . .]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo [at 23 seconds (+

4 hours and 47 minutes and circa 12 seconds)]: Close the door [. . .]

[. . .]

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo: This is not your country. Go to your country. [. . .]

Paul Colin Gloster: I can do without racist discrimination.

Contrarily to fls. 832, I did not have much “energy” and “force”

because I have insufficient access to food. If all of these blows had

been on the same little finger, then this little finger would maintain its connection to this hand.

[HTTP://users.NinthFloor.org/~de\\_ghloucester/This\\_is\\_not\\_your\\_country!\\_Go\\_back\\_to\\_Ireland!\\_filename\\_Recording\\_20140804\\_101602.3gpp](http://users.NinthFloor.org/~de_ghloucester/This_is_not_your_country!_Go_back_to_Ireland!_filename_Recording_20140804_101602.3gpp) is “revealing”.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was not on a table. Contrast with fls. 832. Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo did not faint. She ran away. It is impossible to faint and run. It is not truthful to say that she was “with “her forearms slashed off””. Cf. medical reports to the effect that she continues to have 2 arms.

Contrarily to fls. 832, Sharif H(asan) Mahmoud (Ghithan) never “held” me. Contrarily to fls. 832, Sharif H(asan) Mahmoud (Ghithan) never “grabbed” me.

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo and Rosa Pinto attempted to (and continue to attempt to) murder me. They attempted with special blameworthiness and wickedness to murder me via deprivation of food. Cf. fls. 833:

“The Penal Code states:

Article 132

Aggravated Murder

1 - If death is produced in circumstances that reveal particular blameworthiness or wickedness, the agent

is punishable with imprisonment penalty from twelve to twenty-five years.

2 - It is likely to reveal special blameworthiness or wickedness referred to in the previous paragraph,

among others, the circumstance of the agent:

[. . .]

- (c) Practising the fact against a particularly vulnerable person, due to [. . .] disability, illness [. . .]
  - (d) Employing torture or acts of cruelty to increase the suffering of the victim;
  - (e) Being determined by greed, by pleasure to kill or to cause suffering, out of excitement or for the satisfaction [. . .] by any vile or futile reason;
  - (f) Being determined by hatred, either racial, religious, political, or generated by colour, ethnic or national origin, [. . .] of the victim;
  - (g) Having the intent to prepare, facilitate, run or mask another crime, facilitating the escape or ensuring the impunity of the agent of a crime;
  - (h) Practising the fact along with at least two more people or using a particularly dangerous means or one that results in the practice of a common danger criminal offense;
  - (i) Using poison or any other insidious means;
  - (j) Acting with coldness of heart, having reflected upon the employed means or having persisted on the intention to kill for more than twenty-four hours;
  - (l) Practising the fact against [. . .] [. . .] [. . .] public officials, [. . .] [. . .] teacher, examiner or member of the school community, [. . .] [. . .] during the exercise of their duties or because of them;
  - (m) Being an official and practising the fact with serious abuse of authority.
- [. . .]”.

It is absurd that the dishonesty and desperation of Injustice Paulo José Fernandes are such that on fls. 834 he professed:

“[. . .]

atypical aggravated murder is hereby admissible.

[. . .].”

I do not fulfill a criterion for coercion (cf. fls. 842 and 843)  
therefore coercion by Injustice Paulo José Fernandes (cf. fls. 843)  
and Injustice Rosa Pinto is illegal (cf. fls. 842 and 843 and Articles  
193 and 204 of your CPP).

Injustice Rosa Pinto violated Artigo 95.º of the Estatuto dos  
Magistrados Judiciais Lei n.º 21/85, de 30 de Julho:

“Artigo 95.º

Penas de aposentação compulsiva a de demissão

1 — As penas de aposentação compulsiva e de demissão são aplicáveis quando o magistrado:

- a) Revele definitiva incapacidade de adaptação às exigências da função;
- b) Revele falta de honestidade ou tenha conduta imoral ou desonrosa;
- c) Revele inaptidão profissional;
- d) Tenha sido condenado por crime praticado com flagrante e grave abuso da função ou com manifesta e grave violação dos deveres a ela inerentes.

2 — Ao abandono de lugar corresponde sempre a pena de demissão.”

Injustice Rosa Pinto is an accomplice of indiscipline by Injustice  
Paulo José Fernandes. Cf. indiscipline by Injustice Paulo José

Fernandes.

Accomplices of these assistentes perpetrate defamation on me (cf. for example fls. 68 to 102) but Injustice Rosa Pinto did not strike out such false imputations to me and she did not emit an order obstructing repetition of such criminality against me. Contrast with inter alia fls. 140 and 581. Injustice Rosa Pinto contrarily emitted perverse orders (cf. inter alia fls. 140; 141; 581; and 594) which obstruct me and which encouraged accomplices of an assistente to perpetrate crime against me again.

Injustice Rosa Pinto and Injustice Injustice Paulo José Fernandes disproportionately and unjustly and unreasonably rejected applications by counsel representing me.

Visiting Professrix Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo was not with the intention to definitely cut her. I tolerantly spoke with her. I gave her many more opportunities than she deserved to confess and/or apologize and/or partially make amends. Potentially contrast reality with for example fls. 29.

I list examples of trivially verifiable perjury. I do not list every example of perjury during this Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. Furthermore none of the documentation of this Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR was translated into the language of my nationality (and almost none of the documentation of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR was translated into another language), therefore I do not really know what are alleged via Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR. Trivially detectable perjury seems to be perpetrated on e.g. fls. 186; 187; 213; 214; 215; 245; 249; 250; 255; 256; 257; 300 (perjury by the “Judiciary” Police, of course I never did perjury



because I am honest); 310; 352; 353; 354; 434; 435; 465; and 588 (perjury by the public prosecutrix Maria Madalena Almeida Peres and by the Técnico de Justiça putative Adjunto João Ramos, of course I never did perjury because I am righteous). Trivial methods to expose perjury include listening to audio recordings (cf. inter alia fls. 127; 125; and 117 of Process Number 123/14.9PFCBR); reading earlier documentation; inconsistencies; and the unreasonable absurdities of (contradictory) alleged motives. Cf. *Grobbelaar v News Group Newspapers Ltd & Anr* [2001] EWCA Civ 33 at 87: “there are simply too many improbabilities piled one upon another inherent in Mr Grobbelaar's [cf. these assistentes' and accomplices'] case for it to begin to be credible”.

Testimonies include and are not limited to:

Senhor Vice-Presidente,  
Conselho de Deontologia do Porto,  
Ordem dos Advogados,  
Praça da República, 210,  
4050-498 Porto.

[. . .]@CDP.OA.Pt

Telephone: 22 207 45 78

Fax: 22 207 45 74

Margarida Santos,  
Directora de Serviços,  
Conselho de Deontologia do Porto,  
Ordem dos Advogados,  
Praça da República, 210,  
4050-498 Porto.

[. . .]@CDP.OA.Pt

Telephone: 22 207 45 78

Fax: 22 207 45 74

Carla Espírito Santo,

R João de Ruão, Edf Arnado - Piso 1, Sl 12 - Escr 3,

3000-229 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 827 297

Lúcia Soares,

Rua Dr. Manuel Rodrigues, 37 - 1º,

3000-258 Coimbra.

Telephones: 239 851 250 and 927 006 463

Fax: 239 851 259

Persons of the Public-Security Police involved with Process Number  
22/15.7GGCBR

Functionaries of your Service of Foreigners and Frontiers

António Adelino Ramos Neto,

Oficial Instrutor,

Subcomissário,

Núcleo de Deontologia e Disciplina,

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Polícia Segurança Pública,

Avª Dr. Elíso de Moura, nº 155,

3034-001 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

Emails: [aaneto@PSP.Pt](mailto:aaneto@PSP.Pt) and [cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt](mailto:cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt)

Professor Paulo Alexandre Vieira Crespo,  
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Breandán Delap,  
TG4,  
Baile na hAbhann,  
Co. na Gaillimhe,  
H91 X4TO,  
República da Irlanda.

Eóin Dubsy,  
Helmersstraat 95,  
2513RW The Hague,  
Países Baixos.

João Paulo Cardoso Monteiro,  
Rua Monte do Alvito, nº 36,  
4760-712 Ribeirão.

Teresa Alexandra Nunes,  
Segurança Social,  
Rua dos Combatente da Grande Guerra nº 2,  
Condeixa-a-Nova.  
Teresa.A.Nunes@Seg-Social.Pt

Marie Pillon,  
CCBE Conseil des barreaux européens,  
Rue Joseph II, 40/8,

1000 BRUXELLES,

Bélgica.

[pillon@CCBE.EU](mailto:pillon@CCBE.EU)

Tel.: +32 (0)2 234 65 10

Fax.: +32 (0)2 234 65 11

Nuno Gonçalo Gomes Fernandes Madeira,  
Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.  
Telephone: +351 239400650

Graça Santos,  
Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.  
Telephone: +351 239400650

António Reis Marques,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.  
Telephone: +351 239400650

Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.

Presidente do Conselho de Administração do Centro Hospitalar e

Universitário de Coimbra,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.

Director do Serviço Gestão de Doentes,  
Serviço Gestão de Doentes,  
Pólo Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra,  
Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.  
Telephone: +351 239400679

Quack who wrote illegibly (signature on the right of 2nd April 2013),  
Apartado 1,  
3031-801 Ceira.  
Telephone: +351 239769400

Quack Maria José some-illegible-surname (maybe Reis or Pais)  
some-other-illegible-surname,  
(???: maybe:) Apartado 1,  
(???: maybe:) 3031-801 Ceira.

Diretor do Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E. -  
Hospital Sobral Cid,  
Apartado 1,  
3031-801 Ceira.

Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, E.P.E.,  
Av. Bissaya Barreto e Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto,  
3000-075 Coimbra.

Each lawyer of the times of the Conselhos Deontologia da Ordem dos Advogados that I complained to, including but not limited to: Rui Magalhães; Maria José Vicente; a lawyer who signed illegibly; Maria Guadalupe Gabriel; Costa Amorim; Joana Couto; Fernanda Conceição; Luís Gaspar; and Lígia Carneiro.

Maria Guadalupe Gabriel,  
Rua do Serrado, Lt 9, Rc Dto,  
3500-202 Viseu.  
guadalupe.gabriel-4610c@adv.OA.Pt  
Telephone: 232426015  
Fax: 232488558

Costa Amorim,  
Edif S Nicolau, 2 - 3º, SI 308 e 309,  
4520-248 Santa Maria da Feira.  
camorim-1828p@adv.OA.Pt  
Telephone: 256373233,  
Fax: 256378444

Lígia Carneiro,  
Rua João Machado nº100,  
Edifício Coimbra,  
6º Andar, Sala 605,  
3000-226 Coimbra.  
Telephones: 239 826171 and 91 82 00 507 and 91 72 59 998  
Fax: 239 832687  
geral@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt, ligiacarneiro@CarlosCoelhoAssociados.Pt

Carlos Felício da Costa,  
Avenida Fernão de Magalhães, N.º 495, 4º A/F,

3000-177 Coimbra.

CFC.geral@GMail.com

carlos.felicio.da.costa-3564c@advogados.OA.Pt

Telephone: 239 837 181

Fax: 239 439 979

Rui Magalhães,

Conselho Deontologia de Coimbra da Ordem dos Advogados.

deontologia@CDC.OA.Pt

Maria José Vicente,

R D João III, nº 5 - Estádio Cidade de Coimbra,

3030-320 Coimbra.

mariaj.vicente-2941c@adv.OA.Pt

Telephones: 239840411 and 917607095

Fax: 239840412

Joana Couto

Fernanda Conceição,

Av Dr Manuel Gaspar de Lemos, 1 - 2º Esq. F,

3080-184 Figueira da Foz.

fernandaconceicao-3699C@adv.OA.Pt

Telephones: 233420254 and 964668021

Fax: 233420254

Luís Gaspar

Ricardo Namora de Melo Teixeira

[. . .]@IOL.Pt

Elsa Vieira de Andrade Rodrigues,  
ER advogados,  
R. da Sofia, n.º 139-2.º Esq. Sala 1,  
3000-389 Coimbra.  
Telephones: 239 825 160 and 967 904 888  
Fax: 239 825 161

An English-Portuguese interpreter named “Cláudia” who informed Elsa Vieira de Andrade Rodrigues that Paulo José Fernandes imputed being English to me.

Arménia Coimbra,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, n.º 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Raquel Pinto da Silva,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, n.º 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Sérgio Castanheira,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, n.º 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Afonso Pedrosa,  
Rua Padre Estêvão Cabral, n.º 79, 4º andar - sala 414,  
3000-317 Coimbra.

Helena Vera-Cruz Pinto,  
Provedora-Adjunta de Justiça,  
Rua do Pau de Bandeira, 9,  
1249-088 Lisboa.



provedor.adjunto@Provedor-Jus.Pt  
Telephone: 213 926 600  
Fax: 213 921 243

Ana Carina Nascimento,  
Procuradora-Adjunta,  
Departamento de Investigação e Ação Penal de Coimbra,  
1ª Secção,  
Rua da Sofia, Nº 175,  
3004-502 Coimbra.  
coimbra.diap@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239852260  
Fax: 239096559

Each judge and functionary of Process Number 230/14.8BECBR,  
Tribunal Administrativo de Círculo de Lisboa.

Teresga Cardoso,  
Comarca de Coimbra,  
Instância Central - Secção de Instrução Criminal,  
Rua João Machado, Nº 100 - 8º,  
3000-226 Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239096560  
Fax: 239096579

Miguel Pinto,  
Tribunal de Instrução Criminal de Coimbra,  
Secção Única,  
Rua João Machado, Nº 100 - 8º,  
3000-226 Coimbra.  
coimbra.tic@Tribunais.org.Pt

Telephone: 239096560

Fax: 239096579

Each (then) functionary of the Tribunal Administrativo e Fiscal de Coimbra during or after my first process of it.

Sara André dos Reis Marques,

3º Juízo Criminal de Coimbra,

Ralácio da Justiça,

3004-502 Coimbra.

coimbra.varm@Tribunais.org.Pt

Telephone: 239096591

Fax: 239096659

Each functionary of Process Number 373/13.5TBCEBR including Daniel G.; Ana Maria Fonseca; Maria João C.G.Figueiredo; and Adélia Maria Snatos Carvalho.

Vera Cristina da Silva Gomes,

Procuradora Adjunta.

Joaquim Manuel Dias,

Polícia de Segurança Pública,

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Avenida Elísio de Moura, n.º155,

Coimbra.

Telephone: 239797640

José Manuel Marques Nascimento,

Polícia de Segurança Pública,

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Avenida Elísio de Moura, n.º155,  
Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239797640

Comandante da PSP de Coimbra,  
Polícia de Segurança Pública,  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Avenida Elísio de Moura, n.º155,  
Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239797640

Each person of the Instituto de Medicina Legal e Ciências Forenses who  
was involved with me including and not restricted to:

Susana Tavares,  
Assistente de Medicina Legal com Grau de Consultor,  
Coimbra - Delegação do Instituto de Medicina Legal e Ciências Forenses,  
Largo da Sé Nova,  
3000-213 Coimbra.  
cml@DCINML.MJ.Pt  
Telephone: 239 854 230  
Fax: 239 835 233

Maria Filomena de Osório Pinto dos Santos Figueiredo,  
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Margarida Queiroz,  
R João Machado, 100 - 8º, Sl 804 - Edf Coimbra,  
3000-226 Coimbra.

Duarte Figueiredo,  
R João Machado, 100 - 8º, Sl 803/804 - Edf Coimbra,  
3000-226 Coimbra.

Ana Lopes Chaves  
Av Fernão de Magalhães, 446 - 2º,  
3000-173 Coimbra.

André Filipe Ventura Cortez,  
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Sharif Hasan Mahmoud Ghithan,  
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Alexandre Manuel da Fonseca Trindade,  
Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Manuela Pereira,  
Polícia Judiciária,  
Rua Venâncio Rodrigues, 16,  
3000-409 Coimbra.

direccao.coimbra@PJ.Pt  
Telephone: 239863000  
Fax: 239833627

Maria Constanca Mendes Pinheiro da Providência Santarém e Costa,  
Departamento de Física,  
Universidade de Coimbra,  
3004-516 Coimbra.

Paulo Ricardo Novais Vilas Boas,  
Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, I.P.,  
Avenida D. Carlos I, 126, 1º,  
1249-074 Lisboa.

Lurdes Nunes,  
Escrivão Adjunto,  
Tribunal Judicial da Comarca de Coimbra,  
Juízo Central Criminal de Coimbra - Juiz 2,  
Palácio da Justiça - Rua da Sofia,  
3000-389 Coimbra.  
coimbra.centralcriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239096585  
Fax: 239096659

Juiz 2,  
Tribunal Judicial da Comarca de Coimbra,  
Juízo Central Criminal de Coimbra - Juiz 2,  
Palácio da Justiça - Rua da Sofia,  
3000-389 Coimbra.  
coimbra.centralcriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239096585

Fax: 239096659

João Paulo Pereira Martelo (“Matrícula n.º 141292”),

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Polícia Segurança Pública,

Avª Dr. Elíso de Moura, n.º 155,

3034-001 Coimbra.

[jpmartelo@PSP.Pt](mailto:jpmartelo@PSP.Pt)

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

Celestino do Santos Simões Marques,

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Polícia Segurança Pública,

Avª Dr. Elíso de Moura, n.º 155,

3034-001 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

[cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt](mailto:cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt)

Jorge Manuel Jarmela Rodrigues (“Matrícula n.º 136739”),

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Polícia Segurança Pública,

Avª Dr. Elíso de Moura, n.º 155,

3034-001 Coimbra.

Telephone: 239 797 640

Fax: 239 767 641

[cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt](mailto:cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt)

João Paulo Mena Lopes (“Matrícula n.º 142625”),

Comando Distrital de Coimbra,

Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n<sup>o</sup> 155,  
3034-001 Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239 797 640  
Fax: 239 767 641  
cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt

João Lopes,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Coimbra.

António Ferraz,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Coimbra.

José António Henriques Fernandes,  
Comando Distrital de Coimbra,  
Polícia Segurança Pública,  
Av<sup>a</sup> Dr. Elíso de Moura, n<sup>o</sup> 155,  
3034-001 Coimbra.  
Telephone: 239 797 640  
Fax: 239 767 641  
cpcoimbra@PSP.Pt

Filipa Morão Machado,  
Palácio dos Grilos – Rua da Ilha,  
3004-531 Coimbra.

Maria Madalena Almeida Peres,  
Procurador-Adjunto,  
Comarca de Coimbra - Ministério Público,

Coimbra - DIAP - 1ª Secção,  
Rua da Sofia, 175-2º,  
3000-391 Coimbra.  
coimbra.diap@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239852260  
Fax: 239096559

Hugo Costa,  
Escrivão Auxiliar,  
Comarca de Coimbra,  
Coimbra - Inst. Central - Sec.Ins.Criminal - J1,  
Rua João Machado, 100 - 8º,  
3000-226 Coimbra.  
coimbra.instrucaocriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt  
Telephone: 239096560  
Fax: 239096579

Public Prosecutrix Madalena Peres.

Public Prosecutrix Olga Coimbra.

Maria Benedita Figueiredo Bettencourt Dias,  
Rua Adolfo Coelho, Vila Russo, nº8, 2º andar,  
3000-005 Coimbra.  
[. . .]@HotMail.com  
Telephones: 239 091367 and 96 8312131

João Ramos,  
Comarca de Coimbra - Ministério Público,  
Coimbra - DIAP - 1ª Secção,  
Rua da Sofia, 175-2º,



3000-391 Coimbra.

[coimbra.diap@Tribunais.org.Pt](mailto:coimbra.diap@Tribunais.org.Pt)

Telephone: 239852260

Fax: 239096559

Teresa Cardoso,

Escrivão Adjunto,

Comarca de Coimbra,

Coimbra - Inst. Central - Sec.Ins.Criminal - J1,

Rua João Machado, 100 - 8º,

3000-226 Coimbra.

[coimbra.instrucaocriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt](mailto:coimbra.instrucaocriminal@Tribunais.org.Pt)

Telephone: 239096560

Fax: 239096579

Manuela Nunes Ferreira,

R Simões de Castro, 132 - 1º Esq - Apartado 36,

3000-387 Coimbra.

[manuelanunesferreira-5190c@adv.OA.Pt](mailto:manuelanunesferreira-5190c@adv.OA.Pt)

Telephones: 239834064 and 939554817

Fax: 239102235

Catarina Pinho,

R Dr Paulo Quintela, 320 - 6º A,

3030-393 Coimbra.

Beatriz Cruz

Carlos A. M. de Castro Fernandes

Ferreira Gapo

Tiago Afonso Lopes de Miranda

Isabel Mendes Simões

Teresa Costa Alemão

Joaquim Cruzeiro

José Veloso

Fernanda Brandão

Isabel Soeiro

Presidente do Instituto Politécnico de Coimbra

Yours faithfully,

Paul Colin Gloster,

R da Sofia, 139-2º Posterior,

3000-387 Coimbra,

Portugal.